arXiv:1610.02821v2 [math.DG] 25 Dec 2016

On Exact Triangles Consisting of Stable Vector Bundles on Tori

Kazushi Kobayashi*

Abstract

In this paper, we consider the exact triangles consisting of stable vector bundles on one-dimensional complex tori, and discuss their relations with the corresponding Fukaya category via the homological mirror symmetry.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Holomorphic vector bundles and Lagrangian submanifolds **3**

3 The DG-category consisting of holomorphic vector bundles **6**

4 The construction of the isomorphism **10**

5 Geometric interpretation **25**

1 Introduction

Mirror symmetry is a symmetry between symplectic geometry and complex geometry, and homological mirror symmetry conjectured by M. Kontsevich [7] is one of the most important conjectures as a mathematical formulation of mirror symmetry. The homological mirror symmetry is an equivalence between triangulated categories which are obtained by the Fukaya categories (a Fukaya category is an A_∞ category) [3] and the derived categories of coherent sheaves (a derived category is a triangulated category). In this mathematical formulation, a certain triangulated category is constructed from an A_∞ category in order to compare the Fukaya category with the derived category of coherent sheaves. Here, exact triangles in a triangulated category obtained by this construction are defined as exact triangles associated to mapping cones. Thus, the notion

*Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Graduate School of Science, Chiba University, Yayoicho 1-33, Inage, Chiba, 263-8522 Japan. E-mail : afka9031@chiba-u.jp

of a mapping cone is one of the fundamental tools in the formulation of the homological mirror symmetry.

One of the most fundamental examples of mirror pairs is a pair $(T^2, \check{T}^2)$ of tori. In particular, for an affine Lagrangian submanifold $\mathcal{L}_{\frac{a}{n}}$, i.e., a line of rational slope $\frac{a}{n} \in \mathbb{Q}$ on a covering space of a symplectic torus T^2, we can define a holomorphic vector bundle $E(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{a}{n}})$ whose rank and degree are n and a, respectively on the mirror dual complex torus $\check{T}^2$ of T^2. Note that those holomorphic vector bundles are stable. There are many studies of the homological mirror symmetry for tori ([13], [4], [11] etc.). In this paper, we discuss the structures of exact triangles consisting of those stable vector bundles in the triangulated category.

In the homological mirror symmetry setting, we usually consider the derived category of coherent sheaves in the complex geometry side, but in the present paper we instead consider a DG-category consisting of holomorphic vector bundles corresponding to Lagrangian submanifolds as in [8]. Then, as expressed above, we can construct a triangulated category from this DG-category. For two stable vector bundles $E(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{a}{n}})$ and $E(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{b}{m}})$, we assume $\mathrm{dim}\mathrm{Ext}^1(E(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{b}{m}}), E(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{a}{n}})) = 1$. For a non-trivial morphism $\psi \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(E(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{b}{m}}), E(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{a}{n}}))$, there exists the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow E(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{a}{n}}) \longrightarrow C(\psi) \longrightarrow E(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{b}{m}}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Here, $C(\psi)$ denotes the mapping cone of ψ, and we see that $C(\psi)$ is stable (see [12]). Then, we obtain the following exact triangle consisting of stable vector bundles, where T is the shift functor in the triangulated category.

$$\cdots E(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{a}{n}}) \longrightarrow C(\psi) \longrightarrow E(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{b}{m}}) \xrightarrow{\psi} TE(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{a}{n}}) \cdots . \tag{1}$$

On the other hand, the isomorphism classes of indecomposable holomorphic vector bundles over an elliptic curve are classified by Atiyah [1]. This Atiyah's result implies that the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable holomorphic vector bundles are parametrized by $\mu \in \check{T}^2 = \mathbb{C}/2\pi(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \tau\mathbb{Z})$, where $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$. So we denote by $E(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{a}{n}})_\mu$ the representative of the isomorphism class $[E(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{a}{n}})_\mu]$ of $E(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{a}{n}})$ corresponding to μ. Note that $E_{(\frac{a}{n}, \frac{\mu}{n})}$ corresponds to $E(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{a}{n}})_\mu$ in the body of this paper. Now we turn to the case of the exact triangle (1). We see that $C(\psi)$ is a holomorphic vector bundle whose rank and degree are $m+n$ and $a+b$, respectively, so we expect that there exists a $\mu \in \check{T}^2$ such that $[C(\psi)] = [E(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{a+b}{m+n}})_\mu]$ by Atiyah's result. In this paper, we determine the value μ such that $[C(\psi)] = [E(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{a+b}{m+n}})_\mu]$ in the case $\mathrm{dim}\mathrm{Ext}^1(E(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{b}{m}}), E(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{a}{n}})) = 1$ as the most fundamental example of exact triangles such that $\psi \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(E(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{b}{m}}), E(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{a}{n}}))$ is non-trivial, and construct an isomorphism $C(\psi) \cong E(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{a+b}{m+n}})_\mu$ explicitly by employing theta functions. Furthermore, we give an geometric interpretation of the exact triangles consisting of stable vector bundles in the Fukaya category via the homological mirror symmetry.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we define holomorphic vector bundles associated to Lagrangian submanifolds. In section 3, we discuss

the DG-category consisting of those holomorphic vector bundles, and comment the stability of them. In section 4, we discuss the structures of an exact triangle consisting of stable vector bundles (1) in the case $\dim \mathrm{Ext}^1(E(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{b}{m}}), E(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{a}{n}})) = 1$, where ψ is non-trivial. Then, without loss of generality we may discuss the case $(n,a) = (1,0)$, $(m,b) = (1,1)$ only, because $E(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{a}{n}})$ is associated to $\mathcal{L}_{\frac{a}{n}}$, and $\mathcal{L}_{\frac{a}{n}}$ is transformed by the $SL(2;\mathbb{Z})$ action on T^2. We explain details of this fact in section 5. So in section 4, we consider two stable line bundles $E(\mathcal{L}_0)$ and $E(\mathcal{L}_1)$, and we take the mapping cone of $\psi : E(\mathcal{L}_1) \to TE(\mathcal{L}_0)$. Then $C(\psi)$ is a holomorphic vector bundle whose rank and degree are 2 and 1, respectively. Hence, we determine the value μ such that $[C(\psi)] = [E(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}})_\mu]$, and in particular, for $E(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}})_\mu$, compute non-trivial morphisms $\phi : C(\psi) \to E(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}})_\mu$ and $\tilde{\phi} : E(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}})_\mu \to C(\psi)$ explicitly. In fact, we can check $\phi\tilde{\phi} = c \cdot id_{E(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}})_\mu}$ and $\tilde{\phi}\phi = c \cdot id_{C(\psi)}$ with a complex number $c \neq 0$, and which implies $C(\psi) \cong E(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}})_\mu$. In these arguments, theta functions play an important role. The value μ such that $[C(\psi)] = [E(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}})_\mu]$ is given in Theorem 4.10. In section 5, we discuss a geometric interpretation of the mapping cone $C(\psi)$ from the viewpoint of the corresponding symplectic geometry. We also explain the $SL(2;\mathbb{Z})$ action on T^2 in section 5. In particular, for the isomorphisms ϕ, $\tilde{\phi}$, we interpret the value c as the structure constant of an A_∞ product in the corresponding Fukaya category.

2 Holomorphic vector bundles and Lagrangian submanifolds

In this section, we define Lagrangian submanifolds on T^2, and define holomorphic vector bundles associated to those Lagrangian submanifolds on the dual torus $\check{T}^2$ of T^2. Here, $\check{T}^2$ is a complex torus. These are based on the SYZ construction [14] (see also [9]).

First, we explain $\check{T}^2$. Let us denote the coordinates of the covering space $\mathbb{R}^2$ of $\check{T}^2$ by (x,y). We also regard (x,y) as a point of $\check{T}^2$ by identifying $x \sim x + 2\pi$ and $y \sim y + 2\pi$. We fix an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ small enough and define

$$O_{ij} := \Big\{ (x,y) \in \check{T}^2 \ \Big| \ \frac{2}{3}\pi(i-1) - \varepsilon_0 < x < \frac{2}{3}\pi i + \varepsilon_0, \\ \frac{2}{3}\pi(j-1) - \varepsilon_0 < y < \frac{2}{3}\pi j + \varepsilon_0, \ \varepsilon_0 > 0 \Big\},$$

where $i,j = 1,2,3$. We can regard O_{ij} as a open set of $\mathbb{R}^2$, and we define the local coordinates of O_{ij} by $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Furthermore, we define the complex coordinate of $\check{T}^2$ as follows. Let τ be a complex number which satisfies $\mathrm{Im}\tau > 0$, and for $\check{T}^2$, we locally define the complex coordinate by $z = x + \tau y$. Namely, for the lattice generated by 1 and τ, $\check{T}^2$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}/2\pi(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \tau\mathbb{Z})$.

On the other hand, we consider the dual symplectic torus (T^2, ω) whose

complexified symplectic form ω is defined by

$$\omega := \frac{1}{\tau} dx \wedge dy$$

as the mirror pair of $\check{T}^2 \cong \mathbb{C}/2\pi(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \tau\mathbb{Z})$. We also denote the local coordinates of T^2 by the same notation (x, y) since it may not cause any confusion. We define a map $s_{(\frac{a}{n}, \frac{\mu}{n})} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$s_{(\frac{a}{n}, \frac{\mu}{n})}(x) := \frac{a}{n} x + \frac{\mu}{n}.$$

Here, we assume $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ are relatively prime and $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$. We denote $\mu = p + q\tau$ with $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$. Removing the term $\frac{q}{n}\tau$ from the above $s_{(\frac{a}{n}, \frac{\mu}{n})}(x)$, the graph of

$$y = \frac{a}{n} x + \frac{p}{n}$$

defines a Lagrangian submanifold $\mathcal{L}_{(\frac{a}{n}, \frac{p}{n})}$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Then, for the covering map $\pi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to T^2$, the image $\pi(\mathcal{L}_{(\frac{a}{n}, \frac{p}{n})})$ is a cycle which winds n times in the base space direction and a times in the fiber direction. This $\pi(\mathcal{L}_{(\frac{a}{n}, \frac{p}{n})})$ is an example of a (special) Lagrangian submanifold in T^2. Hereafter, for simplicity, we denote by $\mathcal{L}_{(\frac{a}{n}, \frac{p}{n})}$ a Lagrangian submanifold in T^2 instead of $\pi(\mathcal{L}_{(\frac{a}{n}, \frac{p}{n})})$, too. Here, we explain the complex number $q\tau$ in the formula of $s_{(\frac{a}{n}, \frac{\mu}{n})}$ briefly. In the homological mirror symmetry setting, we consider the Fukaya category in the symplectic geometry side (see section 5). Note that an object of the Fukaya category is a Lagrangian submanifold $\mathcal{L}$ endowed with a flat local system $\mathcal{E}$. Now, for $\mathcal{L}_{(\frac{a}{n}, \frac{p}{n})}$ endowed with a flat local system $\mathcal{E}_{(\frac{a}{n}, \frac{\mu}{n})}$, the complex number $q\tau$ corresponds to the $U(1)$ holonomy of $\mathcal{E}_{(\frac{a}{n}, \frac{\mu}{n})}$ along $\mathcal{L}_{(\frac{a}{n}, \frac{p}{n})} \cong S^1$. In this sense, we call the complex number $q\tau$ the $U(1)$ holonomy or simply the holonomy.

For $s_{(\frac{a}{n}, \frac{\mu}{n})}$, we can associate the following holomorphic vecter bundle $E_{(\frac{a}{n}, \frac{\mu}{n})}$ whose rank and degree are n and a, respectively, on $\check{T}^2$. Let U and V be following square matrices of order n.

$$U := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \omega & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \omega^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}, \; V := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & & 1 \\ 1 & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here, ω is the n-th root of 1. Using these matrices, the transition functions of $E_{(\frac{a}{n}, \frac{\mu}{n})}$ are defined as follows. Let $\psi_{(i,j)} : O_{ij} \to \mathbb{C}^n$ be a smooth section of $E_{(\frac{a}{n}, \frac{\mu}{n})}$, where $i, j = 1, 2, 3$. We define the transition function on $O_{3j} \cap O_{1j}$ by

$$\psi_{(3,j)}\big|_{O_{3j} \cap O_{1j}} = e^{\frac{a}{n} \mathbf{i} y} V \; \psi_{(1,j)}\big|_{O_{3j} \cap O_{1j}}.$$

Similarly, we define the transition function on $O_{i3} \cap O_{i1}$ by

$$\psi_{(i,3)}\big|_{O_{i3} \cap O_{i1}} = U^{-a} \; \psi_{(i,1)}\big|_{O_{i3} \cap O_{i1}},$$

where $U^{-a} := (U^{-1})^a$. Note that the transition functions which are defined on $O_{1j} \cap O_{2j}$, $O_{2j} \cap O_{3j}$, $O_{i1} \cap O_{i2}$ and $O_{i2} \cap O_{i3}$ are trivial. Moreover, when we define

$$\psi_{(3,3)}\big|_{O_{33}\cap O_{11}} = U^{-a}\ \psi_{(3,1)}\big|_{O_{33}\cap O_{11}} = \left(U^{-a}\right)\left(e^{\frac{a}{n}\mathbf{i}y}V\right)\ \psi_{(1,1)}\big|_{O_{33}\cap O_{11}},$$

we can check that they satisfy the cocycle condition. We define a connection on $E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$ locally as

$$D = d + A := d - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2\pi} s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}(x)dy \cdot I = d - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2\pi}\left(\frac{a}{n}x + \frac{\mu}{n}\right) dy \cdot I,$$

where I is the identity matrix of order n, and d denotes the exterior derivative. In fact, D is compatible with the transition functions and so defines a global connection. Strictly speaking, we should denote by $D_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$ a connection of $E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$, but we denote by D a connection of $E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$ here, because we do not use the notation D so much in this paper. Exceptionally, sometimes we denote $D_{\frac{a}{n}}$ instead of D in section 3. Then its curvature form F is

$$F = -\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2\pi}\frac{a}{n} dx \wedge dy \cdot I.$$

Since $\check{T}^2 = \mathbb{C}/2\pi(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \tau\mathbb{Z})$ is a 1-dimensional complex manifold, the (0,2)-part of this curvature form vanishes automatically. Thus, for a complex vector bundle $E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$ of rank n, D defines the structure of a holomorphic vector bundle. In particular, the case $(n, a) = (1, 0)$ with $\mu \in 2\pi n\mathbb{Z}$ corresponds to the trivial line bundle in this definition. Since

$$\int_{\check{T}^2} c_1(E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}) = \frac{a}{4\pi^2}\int_{\check{T}^2} dx \wedge dy = \frac{a}{4\pi^2} 4\pi^2 = a,$$

the degree of $E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$ is a. Here, $c_1(E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})})$ denotes the first chern class of $E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$.

Remark 2.1. *All diagonal components of A are defined by $-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2\pi}(\frac{a}{n}x + \frac{\mu}{n})dy$ in order to maintain the compatibility of the connection 1-form with respect to the transition functions. In fact, when we define the (i, i) components of a connection 1-form $\tilde{A}$ $(1 \le i \le n)$ by $-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2\pi}(\frac{a}{n}x + \frac{\mu_{ii}}{n})dy$, the relation $\mu_{11} = \cdots = \mu_{nn}$ holds by the condition such that $\tilde{A}$ is compatible with the transition functions.*

In general, a smooth section $\psi(x, y)$ of $E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$ is expressed locally as follows. For each $x \in S^1$, $\psi(x, \cdot)$ gives a smooth function on the fiber S^1. Thus, $\psi(x, y)$ can be Fourier-expanded locally as

$$\psi(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{I_1\in\mathbb{Z}} \psi_{\lambda,I_1}(x)e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{n}I_1 y} \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{I_n\in\mathbb{Z}} \psi_{\lambda,I_n}(x)e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{n}I_n y} \end{pmatrix}.$$

3 The DG-category consisting of holomorphic vector bundles

In this section, we construct a DG-category $DG_{\check{T}^2}$ consisting of holomorphic vector bundles defined in section 2. This is an extension of the DG-category of holomorphic line bundles in [6]. The objects of $DG_{\check{T}^2}$ are holomorphic vector bundles $E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$ with $U(n)$-connections D. Hereafter sometimes we denote $D_{\frac{a}{n}}$ instead of D in order to specify that D is associated to $E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$. We often label these objects as $s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$ instead of $(E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}, D_{\frac{a}{n}})$, because $E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$ is associated to $s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$. For any two objects $s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})} = (E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}, D_{\frac{a}{n}})$, $s_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})} = (E_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})}, D_{\frac{b}{m}}) \in Ob(DG_{\check{T}^2})$, the space $DG_{\check{T}^2}(s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}, s_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})})$ of morphisms is defined by

$$DG_{\check{T}^2}(s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}, s_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})}) := \Gamma(E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}, E_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})}) \otimes_{C^\infty(\check{T}^2)} \Omega^{0,*}(\check{T}^2),$$

where $\Omega^{0,*}(\check{T}^2)$ is the space of anti-holomorphic differential forms, and $\Gamma(E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}, E_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})})$ is the space of homomorphisms from $E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$ to $E_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})}$. The space $DG_{\check{T}^2}(s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}, s_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})})$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vector space, where the grading is defined as the degree of the anti-holomorphic differential forms. The degree r part is denoted $DG^r_{\check{T}^2}(s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}, s_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})})$. We define a linear map $d_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{b}{m})} : DG^r_{\check{T}^2}(s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}, s_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})}) \to DG^{r+1}_{\check{T}^2}(s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}, s_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})})$ as follows. We decompose $D_{\frac{a}{n}}$ into its holomorphic part and anti-holomorphic part $D_{\frac{a}{n}} = D^{(1,0)}_{\frac{a}{n}} + D^{(0,1)}_{\frac{a}{n}}$, and set $d_{\frac{a}{n}} := 2D^{(0,1)}_{\frac{a}{n}}$. Then, for $\psi \in DG^r_{\check{T}^2}(s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}, s_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})})$, we set

$$d_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{b}{m})}(\psi) := d_{\frac{b}{m}}\psi - (-1)^r \psi d_{\frac{a}{n}}.$$

Furthermore, for any $\psi \in DG^r_{\check{T}^2}(s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}, s_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})})$, $d^2_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{b}{m})}(\psi)$ is expressed as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} d^2_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{b}{m})}(\psi) &= d_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{b}{m})}(d_{\frac{b}{m}}\psi - (-1)^r \psi d_{\frac{a}{n}}) \\ &= d^2_{\frac{b}{m}}\psi - \psi d^2_{\frac{a}{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, $d^2_{\frac{a}{n}} = 0$ because $d_{\frac{a}{n}} = 2D^{(0,1)}_{\frac{a}{n}}$ and $E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$ is holomorphic. Similarly one has $d^2_{\frac{b}{m}} = 0$. Thus $d^2_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{b}{m})}(\psi) = 0$, so $d_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{b}{m})}$ defines a differential. Sometimes we denote by $H^r(E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}, E_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})})$ the r-th cohomology with respect to the differential $d_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{b}{m})}$, and in particular, $H^0(E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}, E_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})})$ is the space of holomorphic maps. Furthermore, when $s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$ is the zero section, $s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})} = s_{(0,0)}$, the differential $d_{(0,\frac{b}{m})} : DG^r_{\check{T}^2}(s_{(0,0)}, s_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})}) \to DG^{r+1}_{\check{T}^2}(s_{(0,0)}, s_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})})$ is also denoted $d_{\frac{b}{m}} := d_{(0,\frac{b}{m})}$. The product structure $m : DG_{\check{T}^2}(s_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})}, s_{(\frac{c}{l},\frac{\eta}{l})}) \otimes DG_{\check{T}^2}(s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}, s_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})}) \to DG_{\check{T}^2}(s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}, s_{(\frac{c}{l},\frac{\eta}{l})})$ is defined by the composition of homomorphisms of vector bundles together with the wedge product for the anti-holomorphic differential forms. We can check that $d_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{b}{m})}$ and m satisfy the Leibniz rule. Thus, $DG_{\check{T}^2}$ forms a DG-category.

For later convenience, we give the local expression of $d_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{b}{m})}$ explicitly. Since $z = x + \tau y$ and $\bar{z} = x + \bar{\tau} y$, one has

$$dx = -\frac{\bar{\tau}}{\tau - \bar{\tau}} dz + \frac{\tau}{\tau - \bar{\tau}} d\bar{z}, \quad dy = \frac{1}{\tau - \bar{\tau}}(dz - d\bar{z}).$$

Using these, we decompose $D_{\frac{a}{n}} = d - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2\pi} s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}(x) dy \cdot I$ into its holomorphic part and anti-holomorphic part.

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\frac{a}{n}} &= d - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2\pi} s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}(x) dy \cdot I \\ &= \partial + \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2\pi(\bar{\tau} - \tau)} s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}(x) dz \cdot I + \bar{\partial} - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2\pi(\bar{\tau} - \tau)} s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}(x) d\bar{z} \cdot I. \end{aligned}$$

Since $d_{\frac{a}{n}} = 2D^{(0,1)}_{\frac{a}{n}}$, so $d_{\frac{a}{n}} = 2\bar{\partial} - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{\pi(\bar{\tau} - \tau)} s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}(x) d\bar{z} \cdot I$. Thus, for any $\psi \in DG^r_{\check{T}^2}(s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}, s_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})})$, $d_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{b}{m})}(\psi)$ is expressed locally as

$$d_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{b}{m})}(\psi) = 2\bar{\partial}(\psi) - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{\pi(\bar{\tau} - \tau)}(s_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})}(x) - s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}(x)) d\bar{z} \cdot I \wedge \psi.$$

On the other hand, for two objects $s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}, s_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})} \in Ob(DG_{\check{T}^2})$, $DG^0_{\check{T}^2}(s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}, s_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})})$ is the space of sections of $E_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})}$ if $s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$ is the zero section, $s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})} = s_{(0,0)}$. Furthermore, in section 2, we saw that smooth sections of $E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$ can be Fourier-expanded locally. In fact, any morphism $\psi \in DG^r_{\check{T}^2}(s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}, s_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})})$ can be Fourier-expanded locally in a similar way.

Recall that $H^0(E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}, E_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})})$ is the space of holomorphic maps. For two holomorphic vector bundles whose ranks and degrees are same, i.e., $(n, a) = (m, b)$, the following proposition holds.

Proposition 3.1. *Let n be a natural number and a an integer. We assume n and a are relatively prime. Then for μ and ν ($\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{C}$), $\dim H^0(E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}, E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\nu}{n})}) = 1$ if and only if $\mu \equiv \nu \pmod{2\pi(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \tau\mathbb{Z})}$, and, $\dim H^0(E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}, E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\nu}{n})}) = 0$ otherwise. Furthermore, in the case of $\dim H^0(E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}, E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\nu}{n})}) = 1$, a non-trivial element in $H^0(E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}, E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\nu}{n})})$ gives an isomorphism $E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})} \cong E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\nu}{n})}$.*

Proof. We look for a non-trivial holomorphic map $\Phi \in H^0(E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}, E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\nu}{n})})$. By definition, $\mathrm{rank} E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})} = \mathrm{rank} E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\nu}{n})} = n$, so Φ is expressed locally as

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_{11}(x,y) & \cdots & \Phi_{1n}(x,y) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \Phi_{n1}(x,y) & \cdots & \Phi_{nn}(x,y) \end{pmatrix}.$$

As discussed in section 2, the transition function for a smooth section $\psi_{(i,j)} : O_{ij} \to \mathbb{C}^n$ $(i, j = 1, 2, 3)$ of $E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$ is defined by

$$\psi_{(3,j)}\big|_{O_{3j} \cap O_{1j}} = e^{\frac{a}{n}\mathbf{i}y} V \, \psi_{(1,j)}\big|_{O_{3j} \cap O_{1j}}, \;\; \psi_{(i,3)}\big|_{O_{i3} \cap O_{i1}} = U^{-a} \, \psi_{(i,1)}\big|_{O_{i3} \cap O_{i1}},$$

where the transition functions on $O_{1j} \cap O_{2j}$, $O_{2j} \cap O_{3j}$, $O_{i1} \cap O_{i2}$ and $O_{i2} \cap O_{i3}$ are trivial. The same thing holds also for $E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\nu}{n})}$. Then $\Phi_{ij}(x,y)$ $(1 \leq i,j \leq n)$ can be Fourier-expanded as

$$\Phi_{ij}(x,y) = e^{\frac{j-i}{n}a\mathbf{i}y} \sum_{I_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}} \Phi_{ij,I_{ij}}(x) e^{\mathbf{i}I_{ij}y},$$

by considering the transition functions of $E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$ and $E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\nu}{n})}$ in the y direction. Here, we consider the holomorphic structures of $E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$ and $E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\nu}{n})}$. The holomorphic structure of $E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$ is defined by

$$d_{\frac{a}{n}} := \left(2\bar{\partial} - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{\pi(\bar{\tau}-\tau)}\left(\frac{a}{n}x + \frac{\mu}{n}\right)d\bar{z}\right) \cdot I,$$

and similarly, the holomorphic structure of $E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\nu}{n})}$ is defined by

$$d'_{\frac{a}{n}} := \left(2\bar{\partial} - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{\pi(\bar{\tau}-\tau)}\left(\frac{a}{n}x + \frac{\nu}{n}\right)d\bar{z}\right) \cdot I.$$

Since $\Phi \in H^0(E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}, E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\nu}{n})}) = \mathrm{Ker}\{d_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{a}{n})} : DG^0_{\tilde{T}^2}(s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}, s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\nu}{n})}) \to DG^1_{\tilde{T}^2}$ $(s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}, s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\nu}{n})})\}$, Φ satisfies the differential equation $d_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{a}{n})}(\Phi) = d'_{\frac{a}{n}}\Phi - \Phi d_{\frac{a}{n}} = 0$. Hence $\Phi_{ij}(x,y)$ $(1 \leq i,j \leq n)$ is given by

$$\Phi_{ij}(x,y) = e^{\frac{j-i}{n}a\mathbf{i}y} \sum_{I_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}} C_{ij,I_{ij}} e^{-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\pi n\tau}(\frac{\nu}{2}-\frac{\mu}{2}-\pi n I_{ij}-\pi a(j-i))x} e^{\mathbf{i}I_{ij}y},$$

where $C_{ij,I_{ij}}$ is an arbitrary constant. On the other hand, we obtain the following relations for any i,j $(1 \leq i,j \leq n)$,

$$\Phi_{ij}(x+2\pi,y) = \Phi_{(i+1)(j+1)}(x,y),$$

by considering the transition functions of $E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$ and $E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\nu}{n})}$ in the x direction. Namely, for each $l = 1, \cdots, n$, the following relations hold.

$\Phi_{1l}(x+2\pi,y) = \Phi_{2(l+1)}(x,y), \Phi_{2(l+1)}(x+2\pi,y) = \Phi_{3(l+2)}(x,y), \cdots,$
$\Phi_{(n-l)(n-1)}(x+2\pi,y) = \Phi_{(n-l+1)n}(x,y), \Phi_{(n-l+1)n}(x+2\pi,y) = \Phi_{(n-l+2)1}(x,y),$
$\Phi_{(n-l+2)1}(x+2\pi,y) = \Phi_{(n-l+3)2}(x,y), \cdots, \Phi_{(n-1)(l-2)}(x+2\pi,y) = \Phi_{n(l-1)}(x,y),$
$\Phi_{n(l-1)}(x+2\pi,y) = \Phi_{1l}(x,y).$

These relations imply that the arbitrary constants satisfy the following relations.

$C_{1l,I_{1l}} e^{-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{n\tau}(\nu-\mu-2\pi n I_{1l}-2\pi a(l-1))} = C_{2(l+1),I_{1l}},$
$C_{2(l+1),I_{2(l+1)}} e^{-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{n\tau}(\nu-\mu-2\pi n I_{2(l+1)}-2\pi a(l-1))} = C_{3(l+2),I_{2(l+1)}}, \cdots,$
$C_{(n-l)(n-1),I_{(n-l)(n-1)}} e^{-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{n\tau}(\nu-\mu-2\pi n I_{(n-l)(n-1)}-2\pi a(l-1))} = C_{(n-l+1)n,I_{(n-l)(n-1)}},$
$C_{(n-l+1)n,I_{(n-l+1)n}} e^{-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{n\tau}(\nu-\mu-2\pi n I_{(n-l+1)n}-2\pi a(l-1))} = C_{(n-l+2)1,I_{(n-l+1)n}+a},$
$C_{(n-l+2)1,I_{(n-l+2)1}+a} e^{-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{n\tau}(\nu-\mu-2\pi n I_{(n-l+2)1}-2\pi a(l-1))} = C_{(n-l+3)2,I_{(n-l+2)1}+a}, \cdots,$
$C_{(n-1)(l-2),I_{(n-l)(l-2)}+a} e^{-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{n\tau}(\nu-\mu-2\pi n I_{(n-l)(l-2)}-2\pi a(l-1))} = C_{n(l-1),I_{(n-l)(l-2)}+a},$
$C_{n(l-1),I_{n(l-1)}+a} e^{-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{n\tau}(\nu-\mu-2\pi n I_{n(l-1)}-2\pi a(l-1))} = C_{1l,I_{n(l-1)}}.$

Hence we obtain

$$C_{1l,I_{1l}} = e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(\nu-\mu-2\pi nI_{1l}-2\pi a(l-1))}C_{1l,I_{1l}}.$$

Here, if $e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(\nu-\mu-2\pi nI_{1l}-2\pi a(l-1))} \neq 1$ then $C_{1l,I_{1l}} = 0$ ($I_{1l} \in \mathbb{Z}$), so we consider the case $e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(\nu-\mu-2\pi nI_{1l}-2\pi a(l-1))} = 1$. We set that $\mu = p + q\tau$ and $\nu = p' + q'\tau$ ($p, p', q, q' \in \mathbb{R}$), and one has

$$1 = e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(\nu-\mu-2\pi nI_{1l}-2\pi a(l-1))} = e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(p'-p-2\pi nI_{1l}-2\pi a(l-1))}e^{\mathbf{i}(q'-q)}.$$

Therefore $p,\ p',\ q,\ q'$ must satisfy the conditions $p' - p = 2\pi(nI_{1l} + a(l-1))$, $q \equiv q'$ (mod $2\pi\mathbb{Z}$). In particular, if we fix a value l (clearly, there are n ways how to fix a value l), we see that $C_{1l,I_{1l}} = c \in \mathbb{C}$ ($c \neq 0$) for an $I_{1l} \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $C_{1l,I} = 0$ for any $I \neq I_{1l}$ ($I \in \mathbb{Z}$). Thus, when we set $2\pi k := q' - q$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$),

$$\begin{aligned}
&C_{2(l+1),I_{1l}} = c\omega^{-k}, \cdots, C_{(n-l+1)n,I_{1l}} = c\omega^{-(n-l)k},\\
&C_{(n-l+2)1,I_{1l}+a} = c\omega^{-(n-l+1)k}, \cdots, C_{n(l-1),I_{1l}+a} = c\omega^{-(n-1)k},
\end{aligned}$$

and $C_{2(l+1),I} = \cdots = C_{(n-l+1)n,I} = C_{(n-l+2)1,I+a} = \cdots = C_{n(l-1),I+a} = 0$ for any $I \neq I_{1l}$ ($I \in \mathbb{Z}$). Here, n and a are relatively prime and $I_{1l} \in \mathbb{Z}$, so $p \equiv p'$ (mod $2\pi\mathbb{Z}$) holds. Furthermore, all other components of Φ are zero by the conditions of transition functions in the x direction for the values $l' \neq l,\ l' = 1, \cdots, n$. Thus, for μ and ν ($\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{C}$), the condition $E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})} \cong E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\nu}{n})}$ is equivalent to the condition $\mu \equiv \nu$ (mod $2\pi(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \tau\mathbb{Z})$), and when $p' - p = 2\pi(nI_{1l}+a(l-1))$ ($I_{1l} \in \mathbb{Z}$) and $q'-q = 2\pi k$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$), the non-trivial holomorphic map $\Phi : E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})} \to E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\nu}{n})}$ is expressed locally as

$$\Phi = e^{-\frac{k}{n}\mathbf{i}x+\mathbf{i}I_{1l}y+\frac{l-1}{n}a\mathbf{i}y}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Phi_1 \\ \Phi_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Phi_1 := \begin{pmatrix} c & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & c\omega^{-(n-l)k} \end{pmatrix},\ \Phi_2 := \begin{pmatrix} c\omega^{-(n-l+1)k} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & c\omega^{-(n-1)k} \end{pmatrix},$$

where Φ_1 and Φ_2 are square matrices of order $n-l+1$ and $l-1$, respectively ($c \in \mathbb{C}$, $c \neq 0$). Since Φ has its inverse, it is an isomorphism. □

Thus, the isomorphism $\Phi : E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})} \to E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\nu}{n})}$ belongs to $H^0(E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}, E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\nu}{n})})$. By Proposition 3.1, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. *For $E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$, a holomorphic map $\Phi : E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})} \to E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$ is expressed locally as $\Phi = cI$, where $c \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. We consider in the Proposition 3.1 in the case $\mu = \nu$, where $l = 1$, $I_{11} = 0$ and $k = 0$. □

Hence $E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$ is simple, so it is indecomposable. In fact, it is known that an indecomposable vector bundle E on an elliptic curve is stable if and only if

the rank of E and the degree of E are relatively prime (see [12], p.178). Thus $E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$ is stable.

Moreover, for $E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$ and $E_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})}$ with $(n,a) \neq (m,b)$, the following proposition is known (see [12], p.179).

Proposition 3.3. *For $E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$ and $E_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})}$, if $bn-am>0$ then* $\dim H^0(E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}, E_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})}) = bn-am$ *and* $\dim H^1(E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}, E_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})}) = 0$, *if $bn-am<0$ then* $\dim H^0(E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}, E_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})}) = 0$ *and* $\dim H^1(E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}, E_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})}) = am-bn$. □

In Proposition 3.3, if $bn-am>0$, then we see $\dim H^0(E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}, E_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})}) = bn-am$ by a direct calculation, so one has $\dim H^1(E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}, E_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})}) = 0$ by Riemann-Roch theorem. We can also prove in the case of $bn-am<0$ similarly. The arguments of Proposition 3.3 correspond to the discussions of slope stability for $E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$ and $E_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})}$ (see [12]). By Proposition 3.3, for $E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$ and $E_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})}$ with $(n,a) \neq (m,b)$, either $H^0(E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}, E_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})})$ or $H^0(E_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})}, E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})})$ is zero. Thus, when $(n,a) \neq (m,b)$, $E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$ is not isomorphic to $E_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})}$.

4 The construction of the isomorphism

In this section, we construct the mapping cone of a morphism between holomorphic vector bundles on $\check{T}^2$, and discuss the structures of an exact triangle associated to the mapping cone

$$\cdots E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})} \longrightarrow C([\psi]) \longrightarrow E_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})} \xrightarrow{[\psi]} TE_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})} \cdots$$

in the case $\dim \mathrm{Ext}^1(E_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})}, E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}) = 1$, where $\frac{a}{n} < \frac{b}{m}$. Here, for a morphism $\psi \in DG^1_{\check{T}^2}(s_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})}, s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})})$, the cohomology class $[\psi] \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(E_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})}, E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}) = H^1(E_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})}, E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})})$ is non-trivial, and $C([\psi])$ denotes the mapping cone of $[\psi]$. Hereafter, we also denote by ψ a cohomology class of ψ instead of $[\psi]$. Then, without loss of generality we may discuss the case $(n,a)=(1,0)$, $(m,b)=(1,1)$ only, because we can consider the $SL(2;\mathbb{Z})$ action on T^2. As discussed in section 2, $E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$ is associated to $s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$, and $s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$ is transformed by the $SL(2;\mathbb{Z})$ action on T^2. We explain this fact in section 5. Thus, we consider the mapping cone of $\psi = \tilde{\psi} d\bar{z} \in DG^1_{\check{T}^2}(s_{(1,\nu)}, s_{(0,\mu)})$, where $\mu = p+q\tau$, $\nu = s+t\tau$ $(p,q,s,t \in \mathbb{R})$. First, we recall the Atiyah's result on the classification of the isomorphism classes of indecomposable holomorphic vector bundles over an elliptic curve.

Theorem 4.1 (Atiyah, 1957, [1])**.** *The set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable holomorphic vector bundles over an elliptic curve can be identified with the elliptic curve when the rank and degree of holmorphic vector bundles are relatively prime.*

We explain how to apply this Theorem 4.1 to our discussions. Since $E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})} \cong E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\nu}{n})}$ holds if and only if $\mu \equiv \nu \pmod{2\pi(\mathbb{Z}\oplus\tau\mathbb{Z})}$ by Proposition 3.1, the set of

isomorphism classes of $E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$ is parametrized by $\mu \in \mathbb{C}/2\pi(\mathbb{Z}\oplus\tau\mathbb{Z})$. Now $C(\psi)$ is a holomorphic vector bundle whose rank and degree are 2 and 1, respectively. So if $C(\psi)$ is indecomposable, we expect that there exists an $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $C(\psi) \cong E_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{\eta}{2})}$ by Theorem 4.1. In fact, for $E_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{\eta}{2})}$, where $\eta = u+v\tau$ $(u, v \in \mathbb{R})$, $C(\psi) \cong E_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{\eta}{2})}$ holds if and only if $\eta \equiv \mu+\nu+\pi+\pi\tau \pmod{2\pi(\mathbb{Z}\oplus\tau\mathbb{Z})}$ (Theorem 4.10). This is our main theorem which we will show in this section.

Generally, for a given DG-category, we can construct a DG-category consisting of one-sided twisted complexes from the original DG-category, and obtain a triangulated category as the 0-th cohomology of the DG-category of one-sided twisted complexes [2]. Here, we denote by $Tr(DG_{\tilde{T}^2})$ the triangulated category obtained by this construction from $DG_{\tilde{T}^2}$. In $Tr(DG_{\tilde{T}^2})$, there exists the following exact triangle associated to the mapping cone,

$$\cdots T^{-1}E_{(1,\nu)} \xrightarrow{T^{-1}\psi} E_{(0,\mu)} \xrightarrow{\iota} C(\psi) \xrightarrow{\pi} E_{(1,\nu)} \xrightarrow{\psi} TE_{(0,\mu)} \cdots,$$

where T is the shift functor. We discuss the conditions when there exist non-trivial holomorphic maps such that $\tilde{\phi} : E_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{\eta}{2})} \to C(\psi)$ $(\tilde{\phi} \neq 0)$ and $\phi : C(\psi) \to E_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{\eta}{2})}$ $(\phi \neq 0)$. We apply the covariant cohomological functor $F := \mathrm{Hom}(E_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{\eta}{2})}, \cdot)$ and contravariant cohomological functor $G := \mathrm{Hom}(\cdot, E_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{\eta}{2})})$ to it, and obtain the following long exact sequence.

$$\cdots F(E_{(0,\mu)}) \xrightarrow{F(\iota)} F(C(\psi)) \xrightarrow{F(\pi)} F(E_{(1,\nu)}) \xrightarrow{F(\psi)} F(TE_{(0,\mu)}) \cdots,$$

$$\cdots G(E_{(1,\nu)}) \xrightarrow{G(\pi)} G(C(\psi)) \xrightarrow{G(\iota)} G(E_{(0,\mu)}) \xrightarrow{G(T^{-1}\psi)} G(T^{-1}E_{(1,\nu)}) \cdots.$$

Then we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *If* $F(\psi) = 0$ *then* $\dim F(C(\psi)) = 1$ *and if* $F(\psi) \neq 0$ *then* $F(C(\psi)) = 0$.

Proof. We assume $F(\psi) = 0$. By Proposition 3.3, $F(E_{(0,\mu)}) = 0$ and so $F(\iota) = 0$. Thus, $F(\pi)$ is the isomorphism because of the exactness of the sequence, and $\dim F(E_{(1,\nu)}) = 1$ by Proposition 3.3. Hence there is a morphism which is not zero in $F(C(\psi))$, too, so it is clear that $\dim F(C(\psi)) = 1$. If $F(\psi) \neq 0$, then $F(\psi)$ is the isomorphism because $\dim F(E_{(1,\nu)}) = \dim F(TE_{(0,\mu)}) = 1$, so $F(\pi) = 0$. Thus, it can be seen that $F(C(\psi)) = 0$. □

Similarly as above, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *If* $G(T^{-1}\psi) = 0$ *then* $\dim G(C(\psi)) = 1$ *and if* $G(T^{-1}\psi) \neq 0$ *then* $G(C(\psi)) = 0$. □

Note that $C(\psi)$ does not depend on the choice of a non-trivial ψ. We consider the local expression of the morphism $\psi = \tilde{\psi}(x, y)d\bar{z}$ as follows. For $\tilde{\psi}(x, y)$, it can be Fourier-expanded as

$$\tilde{\psi}(x, y) = \sum_{H\in\mathbb{Z}} \psi_H(x)e^{\mathbf{i}Hy},$$

and we see that $\psi_H(x)$ satisfies

$$\psi_H(x+2\pi) = \psi_{H+1}(x) \tag{2}$$

by the conditions of transition functions of $E_{(0,\mu)}$ and $E_{(1,\nu)}$. So we need to define $\psi_H(x)$. Here, as an example of the non-trivial morphism in $\mathrm{Ext}^1(E_{(1,\nu)}, E_{(0,\mu)})$, we take the following bump function as $\psi_H(x)$, where $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $0 < \varepsilon < \pi$.



Note that we can extend $\tilde{\psi}(x,y)$ defined locally to a function defined on $\mathbb{R}^2$ by using (2). In this sense, we often treat $\tilde{\psi}(x,y)$ as a function on $\mathbb{R}^2$. For this ψ, in the symplectic geometry side, the values $-2\pi H + p - s$ ($H \in \mathbb{Z}$) correspond to the x coordinates of the intersection points of the Lagrangian submanifolds $\mathcal{L}_{(0,p)}$, $\mathcal{L}_{(1,s)}$ and their copies in the covering space of T^2.

We examine the condition for η to satisfy $\dim F(C(\psi)) = \dim G(C(\psi)) = 1$, i.e., the condition to exist non-trivial holomorphic maps $\phi : E_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{\eta}{2})} \to C(\psi)$ and $\phi : C(\psi) \to E_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{\eta}{2})}$. For $\tilde{\phi} : E_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{\eta}{2})} \to C(\psi)$, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 4.4. *For $E_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{\eta}{2})}$, $\dim F(C(\psi)) = 1$ holds if and only if $\eta \equiv \mu + \nu + \pi + \pi\tau \pmod{2\pi(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \tau\mathbb{Z})}$.*

Proof. We recall the definition of the mapping cone of ψ. It is defined by

$$C(\psi) := E_{(0,\mu)} \oplus E_{(1,\nu)},$$
$$\tilde{d} := \begin{pmatrix} d_0 & \psi \\ 0 & d_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\bar{\partial} - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{\pi(\bar{\tau}-\tau)}\mu d\bar{z} & \tilde{\psi} d\bar{z} \\ 0 & 2\bar{\partial} - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{\pi(\bar{\tau}-\tau)}(x+\nu)d\bar{z} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here, the transition functions of $C(\psi)$ on $O_{1j} \cap O_{2j}$, $O_{2j} \cap O_{3j}$, $O_{i1} \cap O_{i2}$, $O_{i2} \cap O_{i3}$ and $O_{i3} \cap O_{i1}$ are trivial, but are non-trivial on $O_{3j} \cap O_{1j}$ $(i,j = 1,2,3)$. They are expressed as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{s}_0 \\ \tilde{s}_1 \end{pmatrix}_{(3,j)}\Bigg|_{O_{3j}\cap O_{1j}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\mathbf{i}y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{s}_0 \\ \tilde{s}_1 \end{pmatrix}_{(1,j)}\Bigg|_{O_{3j}\cap O_{1j}}, \tag{3}$$

where $(\tilde{s}_0, \tilde{s}_1)^t_{(i,j)} \in \Gamma(C(\psi))$. Note that $\Gamma(C(\psi))$ denotes the set of sections of $C(\psi)$. On the other hand, the holomorphic structure of $E_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{\eta}{2})}$ ($\eta = u+v\tau, u, v \in \mathbb{R}$) is defined by

$$d := \begin{pmatrix} d_{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & d_{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\bar{\partial} - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{\pi(\bar{\tau}-\tau)}(\frac{1}{2}x + \frac{\eta}{2})d\bar{z} & 0 \\ 0 & 2\bar{\partial} - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{\pi(\bar{\tau}-\tau)}(\frac{1}{2}x + \frac{\eta}{2})d\bar{z} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The transition functions of $E_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{\eta}{2})}$ are trivial on $O_{1j} \cap O_{2j}$, $O_{2j} \cap O_{3j}$, $O_{i1} \cap O_{i2}$ and $O_{i2} \cap O_{i3}$, but are non-trivial on $O_{3j} \cap O_{1j}$ and $O_{i3} \cap O_{i1}$. They are expressed as

$$\begin{pmatrix} s_0 \\ s_1 \end{pmatrix}_{(3,j)}\Bigg|_{O_{3j}\cap O_{1j}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}y} \\ e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}y} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_0 \\ s_1 \end{pmatrix}_{(1,j)}\Bigg|_{O_{3j}\cap O_{1j}}, \tag{4}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} s_0 \\ s_1 \end{pmatrix}_{(i,3)}\Bigg|_{O_{i3}\cap O_{i1}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_0 \\ s_1 \end{pmatrix}_{(i,1)}\Bigg|_{O_{i3}\cap O_{i1}}, \tag{5}$$

where $(s_0, s_1)^t_{(i,j)} \in \Gamma(E_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{\eta}{2})})$. By definition, $\mathrm{rank}C(\psi) = \mathrm{rank}E_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{\eta}{2})} = 2$, so $\tilde{\phi}$ is expressed locally as

$$\tilde{\phi} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\phi}_{11}(x,y) & \tilde{\phi}_{12}(x,y) \\ \tilde{\phi}_{21}(x,y) & \tilde{\phi}_{22}(x,y) \end{pmatrix}.$$

For the transition function of $C(\psi)$ on $O_{i3} \cap O_{i1}$, we see that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{s}_0 \\ \tilde{s}_1 \end{pmatrix}_{(i,3)}\Bigg|_{O_{i3}\cap O_{i1}} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{s}_0 \\ \tilde{s}_1 \end{pmatrix}_{(i,1)}\Bigg|_{O_{i3}\cap O_{i1}}, \tag{6}$$

so by using (5) and (6), it can be seen that $\tilde{\phi}_{11}$, $\tilde{\phi}_{12}$, $\tilde{\phi}_{21}$ and $\tilde{\phi}_{22}$ satisfy the following relations.

$$\tilde{\phi}_{11}(x, y+2\pi) = \tilde{\phi}_{11}(x,y),\ -\tilde{\phi}_{12}(x, y+2\pi) = \tilde{\phi}_{12}(x,y),$$
$$\tilde{\phi}_{21}(x, y+2\pi) = \tilde{\phi}_{21}(x,y),\ -\tilde{\phi}_{22}(x, y+2\pi) = \tilde{\phi}_{22}(x,y).$$

Hence they can be Fourier-expanded as

$$\tilde{\phi}_{11}(x,y) = \sum_{I\in\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{\phi}_{11,I}(x)e^{\mathbf{i}Iy},\ \tilde{\phi}_{12}(x,y) = e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}y}\sum_{J\in\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{\phi}_{12,J}(x)e^{\mathbf{i}Jy},$$
$$\tilde{\phi}_{21}(x,y) = \sum_{K\in\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{\phi}_{21}(x)e^{\mathbf{i}Ky},\ \tilde{\phi}_{22}(x,y) = e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}y}\sum_{L\in\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{\phi}_{22,L}(x)e^{\mathbf{i}Ly}.$$

Furthermore, by using (3) and (4), we obtain the following relations.

$$\tilde{\phi}_{11,I}(x+2\pi) = \tilde{\phi}_{12,I}(x),\ \tilde{\phi}_{12,J}(x+2\pi) = \tilde{\phi}_{11,J+1}(x),$$
$$\tilde{\phi}_{21,K}(x+2\pi) = \tilde{\phi}_{22,K-1}(x),\ \tilde{\phi}_{22,L}(x+2\pi) = \tilde{\phi}_{21,L}(x).$$

Now $\tilde{\phi}$ belongs to $H^0(E_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{\tau}{2})}, C(\psi))$, namely, $\tilde{\phi}$ satisfies the differential equation $\tilde{d}\tilde{\phi} - \tilde{\phi}d = 0$. We see

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{d}\tilde{\phi} - \tilde{\phi}d \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} d_0 & \psi \\ 0 & d_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\phi}_{11} & \tilde{\phi}_{12} \\ \tilde{\phi}_{21} & \tilde{\phi}_{22} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\phi}_{11} & \tilde{\phi}_{12} \\ \tilde{\phi}_{21} & \tilde{\phi}_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & d_{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} d_0\tilde{\phi}_{11} - \tilde{\phi}_{11}d_{\frac{1}{2}} + \tilde{\psi}\tilde{\phi}_{21}d\bar{z} & d_0\tilde{\phi}_{12} - \tilde{\phi}_{12}d_{\frac{1}{2}} + \tilde{\psi}\tilde{\phi}_{22}d\bar{z} \\ d_1\tilde{\phi}_{21} - \tilde{\phi}_{21}d_{\frac{1}{2}} & d_1\tilde{\phi}_{22} - \tilde{\phi}_{22}d_{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Each component of this matrix is expressed locally as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
&d_0\tilde{\phi}_{11} - \tilde{\phi}_{11}d_{\frac{1}{2}} + \tilde{\psi}\tilde{\phi}_{21}d\bar{z} \\
&= -\frac{2\tau}{\bar{\tau}-\tau}\sum_{I\in\mathbb{Z}}\Big\{\frac{d}{dx}\tilde{\phi}_{11,I}(x) - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}\Big(\frac{x}{4\pi} - \frac{p}{2\pi} + \frac{u}{4\pi} - \frac{q}{2\pi}\tau + \frac{v}{4\pi}\tau + I\Big)\tilde{\phi}_{11,I}(x) \\
&\quad - \frac{\bar{\tau}-\tau}{2\tau}\sum_{H\in\mathbb{Z}}\psi_H(x)\tilde{\phi}_{21,I-H}(x)\Big\}e^{\mathbf{i}Iy}d\bar{z}, \\
&d_0\tilde{\phi}_{12} - \tilde{\phi}_{12}d_{\frac{1}{2}} + \tilde{\psi}\tilde{\phi}_{22}d\bar{z} \\
&= -\frac{2\tau}{\bar{\tau}-\tau}e^{\frac{i}{2}y}\sum_{J\in\mathbb{Z}}\Big\{\frac{d}{dx}\tilde{\phi}_{12,J}(x) \\
&\quad - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}\left(\frac{x}{4\pi} - \frac{p}{2\pi} + \frac{u}{4\pi} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{q}{2\pi}\tau + \frac{v}{4\pi}\tau + J\right)\tilde{\phi}_{12,J}(x) \\
&\quad - \frac{\bar{\tau}-\tau}{2\tau}\sum_{H\in\mathbb{Z}}\psi_H(x)\tilde{\phi}_{22,J-H}(x)\Big\}e^{\mathbf{i}Jy}d\bar{z}, \\
&d_1\tilde{\phi}_{21} - \tilde{\phi}_{21}d_{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= -\frac{2\tau}{\bar{\tau}-\tau}\sum_{K\in\mathbb{Z}}\Big\{\frac{d}{dx}\tilde{\phi}_{21,K}(x) \\
&\quad + \frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}\left(\frac{x}{4\pi} + \frac{s}{2\pi} - \frac{u}{4\pi} + \frac{t}{2\pi}\tau - \frac{v}{4\pi}\tau - K\right)\tilde{\phi}_{21,K}(x)\Big\}e^{\mathbf{i}Ky}d\bar{z}, \\
&d_1\tilde{\phi}_{22} - \tilde{\phi}_{22}d_{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= -\frac{2\tau}{\bar{\tau}-\tau}e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}y}\sum_{L\in\mathbb{Z}}\Big\{\frac{d}{dx}\tilde{\phi}_{22,L}(x) \\
&\quad + \frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}\left(\frac{x}{4\pi} + \frac{s}{2\pi} - \frac{u}{4\pi} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{t}{2\pi}\tau - \frac{v}{4\pi}\tau - L\right)\tilde{\phi}_{22,L}(x)\Big\}e^{\mathbf{i}Ly}d\bar{z}.
\end{aligned}$$

During these calculations, we put $K + H = I$ and $L + H = J$. The solutions of the differential equations for $\tilde{\phi}_{21,K}(x)$ and $\tilde{\phi}_{22,L}(x)$ which satisfy $\tilde{\phi}_{21,K}(x+2\pi) =$

$\tilde{\phi}_{22,K-1}(x)$ and $\tilde{\phi}_{22,L}(x+2\pi) = \tilde{\phi}_{21,L}(x)$ are given by

$$\tilde{\phi}_{21,K}(x) = \tilde{C}_{21,K} e^{-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(\frac{x^2}{8\pi} + (\frac{s}{2\pi} - \frac{u}{4\pi} + \frac{t}{2\pi}\tau - \frac{v}{4\pi}\tau - K)x)},$$
$$\tilde{\phi}_{22,L}(x) = \tilde{C}_{22,L} e^{-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(\frac{x^2}{8\pi} + (\frac{s}{2\pi} - \frac{u}{4\pi} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{t}{2\pi}\tau - \frac{v}{4\pi}\tau - L)x)}.$$

Here $\tilde{C}_{21,K}$ and $\tilde{C}_{22,L}$ are arbitrary constants and they satisfy

$$\tilde{C}_{21,K} e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(2\pi K - s + \frac{u}{2} - \frac{\pi}{2} - t\tau + \frac{v}{2}\tau)} = \tilde{C}_{22,K-1},$$
$$\tilde{C}_{22,L} e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(2\pi L - s + \frac{u}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2} - t\tau + \frac{v}{2}\tau)} = \tilde{C}_{21,L}.$$

Hence, $\tilde{C}_{21,K}$ and $\tilde{C}_{22,L}$ are given by

$$\tilde{C}_{21,K} = e^{-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(2\pi K^2 - (2s - u + 2t\tau - v\tau)K)},$$
$$\tilde{C}_{22,L} = e^{-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(2\pi L^2 - (2s - u - 2\pi + 2t\tau - v\tau)L - s + \frac{u}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2} - t\tau + \frac{v}{2}\tau)}.$$

Thus, $\tilde{\phi}_{21,K}(x)$ and $\tilde{\phi}_{22,L}(x)$ are expressed locally as

$$\tilde{\phi}_{21,K}(x) = e^{-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{4\pi}(2t-v)x + \frac{\mathbf{i}}{8\pi\tau}(u-2s)^2 + \mathbf{i}(2t-v)K - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{8\pi\tau}(x - 4\pi K + 2s - u)^2},$$
$$\tilde{\phi}_{22,L}(x) = e^{-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{4\pi}(2t-v)x + \frac{\mathbf{i}}{8\pi\tau}(u-2s)^2 + \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}(2t-v) + \mathbf{i}(2t-v)L - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{8\pi\tau}(x - 4\pi L + 2s - u - 2\pi)^2},$$

so $\tilde{\phi}_{21}(x,y)$ and $\tilde{\phi}_{22}(x,y)$ are expressed locally as follows.

$$\tilde{\phi}_{21}(x,y) = e^{-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{4\pi}(2t-v)x + \frac{\mathbf{i}}{8\pi\tau}(u-2s)^2} \sum_{K\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{\mathbf{i}(2t-v)K - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{8\pi\tau}(x - 4\pi K + 2s - u)^2} e^{\mathbf{i}Ky},$$
$$\tilde{\phi}_{22}(x,y) = e^{-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{4\pi}(2t-v)x + \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}y + \frac{\mathbf{i}}{8\pi\tau}(u-2s)^2 + \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}(2t-v)}$$
$$\times \sum_{L\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{\mathbf{i}(2t-v)L - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{8\pi\tau}(x - 4\pi L + 2s - u - 2\pi)^2} e^{\mathbf{i}Ly}.$$

The solutions of the differential equations for $\tilde{\phi}_{11,I}(x)$ and $\tilde{\phi}_{12,J}(x)$ are given by

$$\tilde{\phi}_{11,I}(x) = \Big\{ \frac{\bar{\tau} - \tau}{2\tau} \sum_{H\in\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{C}_{21,I-H} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(\frac{x^2}{4\pi} + (-\frac{p}{2\pi} + \frac{s}{2\pi} - \frac{q}{2\pi}\tau + \frac{t}{2\pi}\tau + H)x)} \psi_H(x)dx$$
$$+ \tilde{C}_{11,I} \Big\} e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(\frac{x^2}{8\pi} + (-\frac{p}{2\pi} + \frac{u}{4\pi} - \frac{q}{2\pi}\tau + \frac{v}{4\pi}\tau + I)x)},$$
$$\tilde{\phi}_{12,J}(x) = \Big\{ \frac{\bar{\tau} - \tau}{2\tau} \sum_{H\in\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{C}_{22,J-H} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(\frac{x^2}{4\pi} + (-\frac{p}{2\pi} + \frac{s}{2\pi} - \frac{q}{2\pi}\tau + \frac{t}{2\pi}\tau + H)x)} \psi_H(x)dx$$
$$+ \tilde{C}_{12,J} \Big\} e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(\frac{x^2}{8\pi} + (-\frac{p}{2\pi} + \frac{u}{4\pi} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{q}{2\pi}\tau + \frac{v}{4\pi}\tau + J)x)},$$

where $\tilde{C}_{11,I}$ and $\tilde{C}_{12,J}$ are arbitrary constants. Here, we introduce a function $\theta_\varepsilon(x - (-2\pi H + p - s))$ by

$$\lambda_{\tau,H}\theta_\varepsilon(x - (-2\pi H + p - s)) := \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(\frac{x^2}{4\pi} + (-\frac{p}{2\pi} + \frac{s}{2\pi} - \frac{q}{2\pi}\tau + \frac{t}{2\pi}\tau + H)x)} \psi_H(x)dx,$$

where $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $0 < \varepsilon < \pi$ and $\lambda_{\tau,H} \in \mathbb{C}$. We assume that for any $x \geq -2\pi H + p - s + \varepsilon$, $\theta_\varepsilon(x - (-2\pi H + p - s)) = 1$. Then from the periodicity constraints $\tilde{\phi}_{11,I}(x + 2\pi) = \tilde{\phi}_{12,I}(x)$ and $\tilde{\phi}_{12,J}(x + 2\pi) = \tilde{\phi}_{11,J+1}(x)$, we obtain the following conditions.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda_{\tau,H} = e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(2\pi H - p + s - \pi - q\tau + t\tau)}\lambda_{\tau,H-1},\\
&\tilde{C}_{11,I}e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(2\pi I - p + 2u + \frac{\pi}{2} - q\tau + 2v\tau)} = \tilde{C}_{12,I},\\
&\tilde{C}_{12,J}e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(2\pi J - p + 2u + \frac{3}{2}\pi - q\tau + 2v\tau)} = \tilde{C}_{11,J+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, it can be seen that $\lambda_{\tau,H} = e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(\pi H^2 + (-p+s-q\tau+t\tau)H + \lambda)}$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus, we obtain the following formula.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(\frac{x^2}{4\pi} + (-\frac{p}{2\pi} + \frac{s}{2\pi} - \frac{q}{2\pi}\tau + \frac{t}{2\pi}\tau + H)x)}\psi_H(x)dx\\
&= e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(\pi H^2 + (-p+s-q\tau+t\tau)H + \lambda)}\theta_\varepsilon(x - (-2\pi H + p - s)).
\end{aligned}$$

We put $\lambda = \frac{q}{2}\tau + \frac{t}{2}\tau - \frac{v}{2}\tau - \frac{\pi}{4}$. The solutions $\tilde{\phi}_{11,I}(x)$ and $\tilde{\phi}_{12,J}(x)$ must satisfy

$$\lim_{x\to\pm\infty}\tilde{\phi}_{11,I}(x) = 0, \lim_{x\to\pm\infty}\tilde{\phi}_{12,J}(x) = 0, \tag{7}$$

because, for any $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, the values of $\tilde{\phi}_{11}(\alpha, \beta)$ and $\tilde{\phi}_{12}(\alpha, \beta)$ must not diverge under the conditions $\tilde{\phi}_{11,I}(x + 2\pi) = \tilde{\phi}_{12,I}(x)$ and $\tilde{\phi}_{12,J}(x + 2\pi) = \tilde{\phi}_{11,J+1}(x)$. We examine when u and v satisfy (7). First, for $\tilde{\phi}_{11,I}(x)$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\phi}_{11,I}(x) = &\frac{\bar{\tau} - \tau}{2\tau}e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{4\pi}(v-2q)x - \mathbf{i}(v-2t)I - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(\frac{p^2}{4\pi} - \frac{s^2}{4\pi} - \frac{u^2}{8\pi} - \frac{ps}{2\pi} + \frac{us}{2\pi} + \frac{\pi}{4})}\\
&\times\Big\{\sum_{H\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}(v-q-t)(2H-1) - \frac{\pi\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(H - 2I + \frac{p}{2\pi} + \frac{s}{2\pi} - \frac{u}{2\pi})^2}\theta_\varepsilon(x - (-2\pi H + p - s))\\
&+ \frac{2\tau}{\bar{\tau} - \tau}e^{-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}\{2\pi I^2 + (-2p+u+2t\tau-v\tau)I + \frac{p^2}{4\pi} + \frac{s^2}{4\pi} + \frac{u^2}{4\pi} + \frac{ps}{4\pi} - \frac{pu}{2\pi} - \frac{us}{2\pi} - \frac{\pi}{4}\}}\tilde{C}_{11,I}\Big\}\\
&\times e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{8\pi\tau}(x + 4\pi I - 2p + u)^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, the function

$$\sum_{H\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}(v-q-t)(2H-1) - \frac{\pi\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(H - 2I + \frac{p}{2\pi} + \frac{s}{2\pi} - \frac{u}{2\pi})^2}\theta_\varepsilon(x - (-2\pi H + p - s))$$

converges to 0 when $x \to -\infty$. Thus, it can be seen that $\tilde{C}_{11,I} = 0$ for any $I \in \mathbb{Z}$, so we check the conditions for u and v to satisfy

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\sum_{H\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}(v-q-t)(2H-1) - \frac{\pi\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(H - 2I + \frac{p}{2\pi} + \frac{s}{2\pi} - \frac{u}{2\pi})^2}\theta_\varepsilon(x - (-2\pi H + p - s)) = 0.$$

This limiting value is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{x\to\infty}\sum_{H\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}(v-q-t)(2H-1)-\frac{\pi\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(H-2I+\frac{p}{2\pi}+\frac{s}{2\pi}-\frac{u}{2\pi})^2}\theta_\varepsilon(x-(-2\pi H+p-s))\\
&= e^{\mathbf{i}(v-q-t)(2I+\frac{1}{2})}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{2\pi\mathbf{i}n(-\frac{q}{2\pi}-\frac{t}{2\pi}+\frac{v}{2\pi})-\frac{\pi\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(n+1+\frac{p}{2\pi}+\frac{s}{2\pi}-\frac{u}{2\pi})^2}\\
&= e^{\mathbf{i}(v-q-t)(2I-\frac{p}{2\pi}-\frac{s}{2\pi}+\frac{u}{2\pi}-\frac{1}{2})}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{-\frac{\pi\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(n+\frac{p}{2\pi}+\frac{s}{2\pi}-\frac{u}{2\pi}+1)^2}\\
&\quad\times e^{2\pi\mathbf{i}(n+\frac{p}{2\pi}+\frac{s}{2\pi}-\frac{u}{2\pi}+1)(-\frac{q}{2\pi}-\frac{t}{2\pi}+\frac{v}{2\pi}-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2})}\\
&= e^{\mathbf{i}(v-q-t)(2I-\frac{p}{2\pi}-\frac{s}{2\pi}+\frac{u}{2\pi}-\frac{1}{2})}\vartheta_{\frac{1}{2}+(\frac{p}{2\pi}+\frac{s}{2\pi}-\frac{u}{2\pi}+\frac{1}{2}),\frac{1}{2}}\left(-\frac{q}{2\pi}-\frac{t}{2\pi}+\frac{v}{2\pi}-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)\\
&= e^{\mathbf{i}(v-q-t)(2I-\frac{p}{2\pi}-\frac{s}{2\pi}+\frac{u}{2\pi}-\frac{1}{2})-\frac{\pi\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(\frac{p}{2\pi}+\frac{s}{2\pi}-\frac{u}{2\pi}+\frac{1}{2})^2+2\pi\mathbf{i}(\frac{p}{2\pi}+\frac{s}{2\pi}-\frac{u}{2\pi}+\frac{1}{2})(-\frac{q}{2\pi}-\frac{t}{2\pi}+\frac{v}{2\pi}-\frac{1}{2})}\\
&\quad\times e^{\pi\mathbf{i}(\frac{p}{2\pi}+\frac{s}{2\pi}-\frac{u}{2\pi}+\frac{1}{2})}\\
&\quad\times\vartheta_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}\left(-\frac{q}{2\pi}-\frac{t}{2\pi}+\frac{v}{2\pi}-\frac{1}{2}+\left(\frac{p}{2\pi}+\frac{s}{2\pi}-\frac{u}{2\pi}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right),-\frac{1}{\tau}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\vartheta_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(z,\tau)$ is the theta function. It is known that the zeros of $\vartheta_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(z,\tau)$ are $z=\alpha\tau+\beta$ $(\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{Z})$. Thus, the condition

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\sum_{H\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}(v-q-t)(2H-1)-\frac{\pi\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(H-2I+\frac{p}{2\pi}+\frac{s}{2\pi}-\frac{u}{2\pi})^2}\theta_\varepsilon(x-(-2\pi H+p-s))=0$$

is equivalent to that $-\frac{q}{2\pi}-\frac{t}{2\pi}+\frac{v}{2\pi}-\frac{1}{2}\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $\frac{p}{2\pi}+\frac{s}{2\pi}-\frac{u}{2\pi}+\frac{1}{2}\in\mathbb{Z}$, namely, $u\equiv p+s+\pi \pmod{2\pi\mathbb{Z}}$ and $v\equiv q+t+\pi \pmod{2\pi\mathbb{Z}}$. To calculate similarly as above, we can check that $\lim_{x\to\pm\infty}\tilde{\phi}_{12,J}(x)=0$ holds true if and only if $\tilde{C}_{12,J}=0$ for any $J\in\mathbb{Z}$ and u, v satisfy the relations $u\equiv p+s+\pi \pmod{2\pi\mathbb{Z}}$, $v\equiv q+t+\pi \pmod{2\pi\mathbb{Z}}$. Clearly, for any $I,J\in\mathbb{Z}$, $\tilde{C}_{11,I}e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(2\pi I-p+2u+\frac{\pi}{2}-q\tau+2v\tau)}=\tilde{C}_{12,I}$ and $\tilde{C}_{12,J}e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(2\pi J-p+2u+\frac{3}{2}\pi-q\tau+2v\tau)}=\tilde{C}_{11,J+1}$ hold in the case of $\tilde{C}_{11,I}=0$ and $\tilde{C}_{12,J}=0$. Thus, for $E_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{\eta}{2})}$ $(\eta=u+v\tau)$, $\dim F(C(\psi))=1$ holds if and only if $u\equiv p+s+\pi \pmod{2\pi\mathbb{Z}}$, $v\equiv q+t+\pi \pmod{2\pi\mathbb{Z}}$, namely, $\eta\equiv\mu+\nu+\pi+\pi\tau \pmod{2\pi(\mathbb{Z}\oplus\tau\mathbb{Z})}$. □

We obtain the following corollary by setting $u=p+s+\pi$ and $v=q+t+\pi$ in the proof of Theorem 4.4.

Corollary 4.5. *Under the condition of Theorem 4.4, we can take the base of $F(C(\psi))$ locally expressed as*

$$\tilde{\phi}:=\begin{pmatrix}\tilde{\phi}_{11} & \tilde{\phi}_{12}\\ \tilde{\phi}_{21} & \tilde{\phi}_{22}\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}\tilde{\phi}_{11}(x,y) & \tilde{\phi}_{12}(x,y)\\ \tilde{\phi}_{21}(x,y) & \tilde{\phi}_{22}(x,y)\end{pmatrix},$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{\phi}_{11}(x,y)\\
&= -\frac{\bar{\tau}-\tau}{2\tau}\mathbf{i}e^{-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{4\pi}(q-t-\pi)x-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{8\pi\tau}(p-s-\pi)^2}\sum_{I\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{H\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^{I+H}e^{-\mathbf{i}(q-t)I-\frac{\pi\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(H-2I-\frac{1}{2})^2}\\
&\quad\times\theta_\varepsilon(x-(-2\pi H+p-s))e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{8\pi\tau}(x+4\pi I-p+s+\pi)^2}e^{\mathbf{i}Iy},\\
&\tilde{\phi}_{12}(x,y)\\
&= -\frac{\bar{\tau}-\tau}{2\tau}e^{-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{4\pi}(q-t-\pi)x+\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}y-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{8\pi\tau}(p-s-\pi)^2-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}(q-t)}\\
&\quad\times\sum_{J\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{H\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^{J+H}e^{-\mathbf{i}(q-t)J-\frac{\pi\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(H-2J-\frac{3}{2})^2}\\
&\quad\times\theta_\varepsilon(x-(-2\pi H+p-s))e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{8\pi\tau}(x+4\pi J-p+s+3\pi)^2}e^{\mathbf{i}Jy},\\
&\tilde{\phi}_{21}(x,y)\\
&= e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{4\pi}(q-t+\pi)x+\frac{\mathbf{i}}{8\pi\tau}(p-s+\pi)^2}\sum_{K\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^K e^{-\mathbf{i}(q-t)K-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{8\pi\tau}(x-4\pi K-p+s-\pi)^2}e^{\mathbf{i}Ky},\\
&\tilde{\phi}_{22}(x,y)\\
&= -\mathbf{i}e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{4\pi}(q-t+\pi)x+\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}y+\frac{\mathbf{i}}{8\pi\tau}(p-s+\pi)^2-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}(q-t)}\\
&\quad\times\sum_{L\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^L e^{-\mathbf{i}(q-t)L-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{8\pi\tau}(x-4\pi L-p+s-3\pi)^2}e^{\mathbf{i}Ly}.
\end{aligned}
$$

□

Similarly, for $\phi : C(\psi) \to E_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{\eta}{2})}$, we obtain the followings by setting $u = p+s+\pi$ and $v = q+t+\pi$.

Theorem 4.6. *For $E_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{\eta}{2})}$, $\dim G(C(\psi)) = 1$ holds if and only if $\eta \equiv \mu+\nu+\pi+\pi\tau \pmod{2\pi(\mathbb{Z}\oplus\tau\mathbb{Z})}$.* □

Corollary 4.7. *Under the condition of Theorem 4.6, we can take the base of $G(C(\psi))$ locally expressed as*

$$
\phi := \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{11} & \phi_{12} \\ \phi_{21} & \phi_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{11}(x,y) & \phi_{12}(x,y) \\ \phi_{21}(x,y) & \phi_{22}(x,y) \end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\phi_{11}(x,y)\\
&= e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{4\pi}(q-t-\pi)x+\frac{\mathbf{i}}{8\pi\tau}(p-s-\pi)^2}\sum_{M\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^M e^{-\mathbf{i}(q-t)M-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{8\pi\tau}(x-4\pi M-p+s+\pi)^2}e^{\mathbf{i}My},\\
&\phi_{12}(x,y)\\
&= \frac{\bar{\tau}-\tau}{2\tau}\mathbf{i}e^{-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{4\pi}(q-t+\pi)x-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{8\pi\tau}(p-s+\pi)^2}\sum_{N\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{H\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^{N+H}e^{-\mathbf{i}(q-t)N-\frac{\pi\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(H-2N+\frac{1}{2})^2}\\
&\quad\times\theta_\varepsilon(x-(-2\pi H+p-s))e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{8\pi\tau}(x+4\pi N-p+s-\pi)^2}e^{\mathbf{i}Ny},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\phi_{21}(x,y)\\
&= \mathbf{i}e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{4\pi}(q-t+\pi)x+\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}y+\frac{\mathbf{i}}{8\pi\tau}(p-s-\pi)^2-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}(q-t)}\\
&\quad\times\sum_{P\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^P e^{-\mathbf{i}(q-t)P-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{8\pi\tau}(x-4\pi P-p+s-\pi)^2}e^{\mathbf{i}Py},\\
&\phi_{22}(x,y)\\
&= -\frac{\bar\tau-\tau}{2\tau}e^{-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{4\pi}(q-t+\pi)x+\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}y-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{8\pi\tau}(p-s+\pi)^2-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}(q-t)}\\
&\quad\times\sum_{Q\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{H\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^{Q+H}e^{-\mathbf{i}(q-t)Q-\frac{\pi\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(H-2Q-\frac{1}{2})^2}\\
&\quad\times\theta_\varepsilon(x-(-2\pi H+p-s))e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{8\pi\tau}(x+4\pi Q-p+s+\pi)^2}e^{\mathbf{i}Qy}.
\end{aligned}
$$
□

The holomorphic maps obtained by Theorem 4.4 (Corollary 4.5) and Theorem 4.6 (Corollary 4.7) actually satisfy $\phi\tilde\phi = c_\tau I$ ($c_\tau \neq 0,\ c_\tau \in \mathbb{C}$). Namely, $\frac{1}{\sqrt{c_\tau}}\phi$ and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{c_\tau}}\tilde\phi$ give the isomorphism $C(\psi)\cong E_{(\frac12,\frac{\eta}{2})}$. In order to show this fact, we propose the following lemmas. Note that $\tilde\phi_{11}(x,y)$ can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{H\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^H e^{-\frac{\pi\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(H-2I-\frac12)^2}\theta_\varepsilon(x-(-2\pi H+p-s))\\
&=\sum_{H\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^H e^{-\frac{\pi\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(H-2I-\frac12)^2}\\
&\quad\times\Big\{\theta_\varepsilon(x-(-2\pi H+p-s))-\theta_\varepsilon(x-(-4\pi I+p-s-\pi))\Big\},
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\sum_{H\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^H e^{-\frac{\pi\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(H-2I-\frac12)^2}=0$. Similar facts hold true also for $\tilde\phi_{12}(x,y)$, $\phi_{12}(x,y)$ and $\phi_{22}(x,y)$.

Lemma 4.8. *Let* $\mathbf{a}$ *be a integer and* $\mathbf{a}\neq 0$. *Then the following identity holds.*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}e^{\frac{2\pi\mathbf{i}k\mathbf{a}}{\tau}}\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^l e^{-\frac{\pi\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(l+\frac12)^2}\\
&\times\Big\{\theta_\varepsilon(x-(-2\pi k-2\pi l+p-s))-\theta_\varepsilon(x-(-2\pi k+p-s+\pi))\Big\}=0. \qquad (8)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The holomorphic map $\tilde\phi: E_{(\frac12,\frac{\eta}{2})}\to C(\psi)$ satisfies the following differential equations.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&d_0\tilde\phi_{11}-\tilde\phi_{11}d_{\frac12}+\psi\tilde\phi_{21}d\bar z=0,\\
&d_0\tilde\phi_{12}-\tilde\phi_{12}d_{\frac12}+\psi\tilde\phi_{22}d\bar z=0,\\
&d_1\tilde\phi_{21}-\tilde\phi_{21}d_{\frac12}=0,\\
&d_1\tilde\phi_{22}-\tilde\phi_{22}d_{\frac12}=0.
\end{aligned}
$$

They are expressed locally as follows by using $d_0=2\bar\partial-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\pi(\bar\tau-\tau)}\mu d\bar z$, $d_1=2\bar\partial-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\pi(\bar\tau-\tau)}(x+\nu)d\bar z$ and $d_{\frac12}=2\bar\partial-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\pi(\bar\tau-\tau)}(\frac12 x+\frac{\eta}{2})d\bar z$ ($\mu=p+q\tau,\ \nu=$

$s+t\tau,\ \eta=u+v\tau,\ u=p+s+\pi,\ v=q+t+\pi$).

$$2\bar{\partial}(\tilde{\phi}_{11})-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\pi(\bar{\tau}-\tau)}\left(-\frac{1}{2}x+\mu-\frac{\eta}{2}\right)\tilde{\phi}_{11}d\bar{z}+\psi\tilde{\phi}_{21}d\bar{z}=0, \tag{9}$$

$$2\bar{\partial}(\tilde{\phi}_{12})-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\pi(\bar{\tau}-\tau)}\left(-\frac{1}{2}x+\mu-\frac{\eta}{2}\right)\tilde{\phi}_{12}d\bar{z}+\psi\tilde{\phi}_{22}d\bar{z}=0, \tag{10}$$

$$2\bar{\partial}(\tilde{\phi}_{21})-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\pi(\bar{\tau}-\tau)}\left(\frac{1}{2}x+\nu-\frac{\eta}{2}\right)\tilde{\phi}_{21}d\bar{z}=0, \tag{11}$$

$$2\bar{\partial}(\tilde{\phi}_{22})-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\pi(\bar{\tau}-\tau)}\left(\frac{1}{2}x+\nu-\frac{\eta}{2}\right)\tilde{\phi}_{22}d\bar{z}=0. \tag{12}$$

We obtain the following differential equations by multiplying $\tilde{\phi}_{22}$, $\tilde{\phi}_{21}$, $\tilde{\phi}_{12}$, $\tilde{\phi}_{11}$ to (9), (10), (11), (12), respectively.

$$\tilde{\phi}_{22}2\bar{\partial}(\tilde{\phi}_{11})-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\pi(\bar{\tau}-\tau)}\left(-\frac{1}{2}x+\mu-\frac{\eta}{2}\right)\tilde{\phi}_{11}\tilde{\phi}_{22}d\bar{z}+\psi\tilde{\phi}_{21}\tilde{\phi}_{22}d\bar{z}=0, \tag{13}$$

$$\tilde{\phi}_{21}2\bar{\partial}(\tilde{\phi}_{12})-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\pi(\bar{\tau}-\tau)}\left(-\frac{1}{2}x+\mu-\frac{\eta}{2}\right)\tilde{\phi}_{12}\tilde{\phi}_{21}d\bar{z}+\psi\tilde{\phi}_{21}\tilde{\phi}_{22}d\bar{z}=0, \tag{14}$$

$$\tilde{\phi}_{12}2\bar{\partial}(\tilde{\phi}_{21})-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\pi(\bar{\tau}-\tau)}\left(\frac{1}{2}x+\nu-\frac{\eta}{2}\right)\tilde{\phi}_{12}\tilde{\phi}_{21}d\bar{z}=0, \tag{15}$$

$$\tilde{\phi}_{11}2\bar{\partial}(\tilde{\phi}_{22})-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\pi(\bar{\tau}-\tau)}\left(\frac{1}{2}x+\nu-\frac{\eta}{2}\right)\tilde{\phi}_{11}\tilde{\phi}_{22}d\bar{z}=0. \tag{16}$$

The sum (13) + (16) − (14) − (15) turns out to be the following differential equation.

$$2\bar{\partial}(\tilde{\phi}_{11}\tilde{\phi}_{22}-\tilde{\phi}_{12}\tilde{\phi}_{21})+\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\pi(\bar{\tau}-\tau)}(\pi+\pi\tau)(\tilde{\phi}_{11}\tilde{\phi}_{22}-\tilde{\phi}_{12}\tilde{\phi}_{21})d\bar{z}=0. \tag{17}$$

We Fourier-expand $\tilde{\phi}_{11}$, $\tilde{\phi}_{12}$, $\tilde{\phi}_{21}$, $\tilde{\phi}_{22}$ as

$$\tilde{\phi}_{11}=\sum_{I\in\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{\phi}_{11,I}(x)e^{\mathbf{i}Iy},\ \tilde{\phi}_{12}=e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}y}\sum_{J\in\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{\phi}_{12,J}(x)e^{\mathbf{i}Jy},$$
$$\tilde{\phi}_{21}=\sum_{K\in\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{\phi}_{21,K}(x)e^{\mathbf{i}Ky},\ \tilde{\phi}_{22}=e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}y}\sum_{L\in\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{\phi}_{22,L}(x)e^{\mathbf{i}Ly},$$

and calculate $\det\tilde{\phi}=\tilde{\phi}_{11}\tilde{\phi}_{22}-\tilde{\phi}_{12}\tilde{\phi}_{21}$.

$$\begin{aligned}&\det\tilde{\phi}\\&=\Big(\sum_{I\in\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{\phi}_{11,I}(x)e^{\mathbf{i}Iy}\Big)\Big(e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}y}\sum_{J\in\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{\phi}_{12,J}(x)e^{\mathbf{i}Jy}\Big)\\&\quad-\Big(\sum_{K\in\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{\phi}_{21,K}(x)e^{\mathbf{i}Ky}\Big)\Big(e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}y}\sum_{L\in\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{\phi}_{22,L}(x)e^{\mathbf{i}Ly}\Big)\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}y}\sum_{I\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{L\in\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{\phi}_{11,I}(x)\tilde{\phi}_{22,L}(x)e^{\mathbf{i}(I+L)y} - e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}y}\sum_{J\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{K\in\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{\phi}_{12,J}(x)\tilde{\phi}_{21,K}(x)e^{\mathbf{i}(J+K)y}\\
&= e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}y}\sum_{\mathbf{a}\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{I\in\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{\phi}_{11,I}(x)\tilde{\phi}_{22,\mathbf{a}-I}(x)e^{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{a}y} - e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}y}\sum_{\mathbf{a}\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{J\in\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{\phi}_{12,J}(x)\tilde{\phi}_{21,\mathbf{a}-J}(x)e^{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{a}y}\\
&= e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}y}\sum_{\mathbf{a}\in\mathbb{Z}}\Big\{\sum_{I\in\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{\phi}_{11,I}(x)\tilde{\phi}_{22,\mathbf{a}-I}(x) - \sum_{J\in\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{\phi}_{12,J}(x)\tilde{\phi}_{21,\mathbf{a}-J}(x)\Big\}e^{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{a}y}.
\end{aligned}
$$

In the third equality, we put $I+L=J+K=\mathbf{a}$. Here, we define the function $\tilde{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}}(x)$ as follows.

$$
\tilde{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}}(x) := \sum_{I\in\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{\phi}_{11,I}(x)\tilde{\phi}_{22,\mathbf{a}-I}(x) - \sum_{J\in\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{\phi}_{12,J}(x)\tilde{\phi}_{21,\mathbf{a}-J}(x).
$$

Namely, $\det\tilde{\phi}$ is given by $e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}y}\sum_{\mathbf{a}\in\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}}(x)e^{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{a}y}$. By substituting $\tilde{\phi}_{11}\tilde{\phi}_{22}-\tilde{\phi}_{12}\tilde{\phi}_{21} = e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}y}\sum_{\mathbf{a}\in\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}}(x)e^{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{a}y}$ into (17), one obtains

$$
-\frac{2\tau}{\bar{\tau}-\tau}e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}y}\sum_{\mathbf{a}\in\mathbb{Z}}\Big\{\frac{d}{dx}\tilde{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}}(x) - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}\left(\mathbf{a}+1+\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\tilde{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}}(x)\Big\}e^{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{a}y}d\bar{z} = 0.
$$

Thus, we solve the differential equation

$$
\frac{d}{dx}\tilde{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}}(x) - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}\left(\mathbf{a}+1+\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\tilde{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}}(x) = 0.
$$

The general solution of this differential equation is expressed as

$$
\tilde{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}}(x) = \tilde{C}_{\mathbf{a}}e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(\mathbf{a}+1+\frac{\tau}{2})x},
$$

where $\tilde{C}_{\mathbf{a}}$ is an arbitrary constant. Recall that $\tilde{\phi}_{11,I}(x)$, $\tilde{\phi}_{12,J}(x)$, $\tilde{\phi}_{21,K}(x)$ and $\tilde{\phi}_{22,L}(x)$ satisfy the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{\phi}_{11,I}(x+2\pi) = \tilde{\phi}_{12,I}(x),\ \tilde{\phi}_{12,J}(x+2\pi) = \tilde{\phi}_{11,J+1}(x),\\
&\tilde{\phi}_{21,K}(x+2\pi) = \tilde{\phi}_{22,K-1}(x),\ \tilde{\phi}_{22,L}(x+2\pi) = \tilde{\phi}_{21,L}(x).
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}}(x+2\pi) &= \sum_{I\in\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{\phi}_{11,I}(x+2\pi)\tilde{\phi}_{22,\mathbf{a}-I}(x+2\pi) - \sum_{J\in\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{\phi}_{12,J}(x+2\pi)\tilde{\phi}_{21,\mathbf{a}-J}(x+2\pi)\\
&= \sum_{I\in\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{\phi}_{12,I}(x)\tilde{\phi}_{21,\mathbf{a}-I}(x) - \sum_{J\in\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{\phi}_{11,J+1}(x)\tilde{\phi}_{22,\mathbf{a}-J-1}(x)\\
&= \sum_{I\in\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{\phi}_{12,I}(x)\tilde{\phi}_{21,\mathbf{a}-I}(x) - \sum_{J\in\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{\phi}_{11,J}(x)\tilde{\phi}_{22,\mathbf{a}-J}(x)\\
&= -\Big(\sum_{J\in\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{\phi}_{11,J}(x)\tilde{\phi}_{22,\mathbf{a}-J}(x) - \sum_{I\in\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{\phi}_{12,I}(x)\tilde{\phi}_{21,\mathbf{a}-I}(x)\Big)\\
&= -\tilde{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}}(x).
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}}(x+2\pi) &= \tilde{C}_{\mathbf{a}} e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(\mathbf{a}+1+\frac{\tau}{2})(x+2\pi)} \\ &= \tilde{C}_{\mathbf{a}} e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(\mathbf{a}+1+\frac{\tau}{2})x}(-e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(2\pi\mathbf{a}+2\pi)}) \\ &= -e^{\frac{2\pi\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(\mathbf{a}+1)}\tilde{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}}(x),\end{aligned}$$

one has $e^{\frac{2\pi\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(\mathbf{a}+1)} = 1$, namely, $\mathbf{a} = -1$. Therefore, $\tilde{C}_{\mathbf{a}}$ satisfies $\tilde{C}_{\mathbf{a}} = 0$ ($\mathbf{a} \neq -1$). By Corollary 4.5, $\det\tilde{\phi}$ is expressed locally as

$$\begin{aligned}\det\tilde{\phi} = \frac{\bar{\tau}-\tau}{2\tau} e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}y - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2\tau}(p-s+2\pi) - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}(q-t)} \sum_{\mathbf{a}\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{\mathbf{a}+l} e^{-\mathbf{i}(q-t)\mathbf{a} - \frac{\pi\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(l+\frac{1}{2})^2} \\ \times \Big\{\theta_\varepsilon(x-(-2\pi k - 2\pi l + p - s)) - \theta_\varepsilon(x-(-2\pi k + p - s + \pi))\Big\} \\ \times e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}((\mathbf{a}+1+\frac{\tau}{2})x - 2\pi\mathbf{a}^2 + 2\pi k\mathbf{a} - (p-s+5\pi)\mathbf{a} + 2\pi k - 2\pi)} e^{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{a}y}, \\ \tilde{C}_{\mathbf{a}} = \frac{\bar{\tau}-\tau}{2\tau} e^{-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2\tau}(p-s+2\pi) - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}(q-t)} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{\mathbf{a}+l} e^{-\mathbf{i}(q-t)\mathbf{a} - \frac{\pi\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(l+\frac{1}{2})^2} \\ \times \Big\{\theta_\varepsilon(x-(-2\pi k - 2\pi l + p - s)) - \theta_\varepsilon(x-(-2\pi k + p - s + \pi))\Big\} \\ \times e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(-2\pi\mathbf{a}^2 + 2\pi k\mathbf{a} - (p-s+5\pi)\mathbf{a} + 2\pi k - 2\pi)}.\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the formula $\det\tilde{\phi} = e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}y}\sum_{\mathbf{a}\in\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{C}_{\mathbf{a}} e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(\mathbf{a}+1+\frac{\tau}{2})x} e^{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{a}y}$, $\tilde{C}_{\mathbf{a}} = 0$ ($\mathbf{a} \neq -1$) is equivalent to the formula $\det\tilde{\phi} = e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}y}\sum_{\mathbf{a}\in\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{C}_{\mathbf{a}-1} e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(\mathbf{a}+\frac{\tau}{2})x} e^{\mathbf{i}(\mathbf{a}-1)y}$, $\tilde{C}_{\mathbf{a}-1} = 0$ ($\mathbf{a} \neq 0$), and $\tilde{C}_{\mathbf{a}-1}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{C}_{\mathbf{a}-1} = -\frac{\bar{\tau}-\tau}{2\tau}(-1)^{\mathbf{a}} e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2\tau}(p-s) + \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}(q-t) + \frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(-2\pi\mathbf{a}^2 - (p-s+\pi)\mathbf{a}) - \mathbf{i}(q-t)\mathbf{a}} \\ \times \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{\frac{2\pi\mathbf{i}k\mathbf{a}}{\tau}} \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^l e^{-\frac{\pi\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(l+\frac{1}{2})^2} \\ \times \Big\{\theta_\varepsilon(x-(-2\pi k - 2\pi l + p - s)) - \theta_\varepsilon(x-(-2\pi k + p - s + \pi))\Big\}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the condition $\tilde{C}_{\mathbf{a}-1} = 0$ ($\mathbf{a} \neq 0$) implies the identity (8). □

Lemma 4.9. $\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^l (2l+1) e^{-\frac{\pi\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(l+\frac{1}{2})^2} \neq 0.$

Proof. We consider the following theta function, where $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$.

$$\vartheta_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}\left(\beta, -\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{\pi\mathbf{i}(l+\frac{1}{2})^2(-\frac{1}{\tau}) + 2\pi\mathbf{i}(l+\frac{1}{2})(\beta+\frac{1}{2})}.$$

We differentiate it with respect to β.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta}\vartheta_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}\left(\beta,-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) &= \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} 2\pi\mathbf{i}\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)e^{\pi\mathbf{i}(l+\frac{1}{2})^2(-\frac{1}{\tau})+2\pi\mathbf{i}(l+\frac{1}{2})(\beta+\frac{1}{2})} \\ &= \pi\mathbf{i}\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}}(2l+1)e^{\pi\mathbf{i}(l+\frac{1}{2})^2(-\frac{1}{\tau})+2\pi\mathbf{i}(l+\frac{1}{2})(\beta+\frac{1}{2})}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, it can be seen that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta}\vartheta_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}\left(\beta,-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)\Big|_{\beta=0} &= \pi\mathbf{i}\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}}(2l+1)e^{\pi\mathbf{i}(l+\frac{1}{2})^2(-\frac{1}{\tau})+\pi\mathbf{i}(l+\frac{1}{2})} \\ &= -\pi\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^l(2l+1)e^{\pi\mathbf{i}(l+\frac{1}{2})^2(-\frac{1}{\tau})}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can prove this lemma if we show $\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta}\vartheta_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(\beta,-\frac{1}{\tau})\Big|_{\beta=0} \neq 0$. By the Jacobi's differential formula (see [10], p.64),

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta}\vartheta_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}\left(\beta,-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)\Big|_{\beta=0} = -\pi\vartheta_{0,0}\left(0,-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)\vartheta_{0,\frac{1}{2}}\left(0,-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)\vartheta_{\frac{1}{2},0}\left(0,-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)$$

holds. Since the zeros of $\vartheta_{0,0}(\beta,\tau)$, $\vartheta_{0,\frac{1}{2}}(\beta,\tau)$ and $\vartheta_{\frac{1}{2},0}(\beta,\tau)$ are

$$\begin{aligned}&\text{The zeros of } \vartheta_{0,0}(\beta,\tau) \quad : \quad \left\{\left(\left(p+\frac{1}{2}\right)\tau+\left(q+\frac{1}{2}\right),\tau\right)\in\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{H}\right\}, \\ &\text{The zeros of } \vartheta_{0,\frac{1}{2}}(\beta,\tau) \quad : \quad \left\{\left(\left(p+\frac{1}{2}\right)\tau+q,\tau\right)\in\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{H}\right\}, \\ &\text{The zeros of } \vartheta_{\frac{1}{2},0}(\beta,\tau) \quad : \quad \left\{\left(p\tau+\left(q+\frac{1}{2}\right),\tau\right)\in\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{H}\right\},\end{aligned}$$

where p, $q\in\mathbb{Z}$, we see $\vartheta_{0,0}(0,-\frac{1}{\tau})\neq 0$, $\vartheta_{0,\frac{1}{2}}(0,-\frac{1}{\tau})\neq 0$ and $\vartheta_{\frac{1}{2},0}(0,-\frac{1}{\tau})\neq 0$, which implies $\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta}\vartheta_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(\beta,-\frac{1}{\tau})\Big|_{\beta=0}\neq 0$. □

Now, we consider the composition $\phi\tilde{\phi}: E_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{\eta}{2})}\to E_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{\eta}{2})}$. By Corollary 3.2, the diagonal components of $\phi\tilde{\phi}$ are same, and the off-diagonal components are 0. Thus, we calculate the (1,1) component of $\phi\tilde{\phi}$. Using the identity (8), it

turns out to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\phi_{11}(x,y)\tilde{\phi}_{11}(x,y)+\phi_{12}(x,y)\tilde{\phi}_{21}(x,y)\\
&=\left(e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{4\pi}(q-t-\pi)x+\frac{\mathbf{i}}{8\pi\tau}(p-s-\pi)^2}\sum_{M\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^M e^{-\mathbf{i}(q-t)M-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{8\pi\tau}(x-4\pi M-p+s+\pi)^2}e^{\mathbf{i}My}\right)\\
&\quad\times\left(-\frac{\bar{\tau}-\tau}{2\tau}\mathbf{i}e^{-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{4\pi}(q-t-\pi)x-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{8\pi\tau}(p-s-\pi)^2}\sum_{I\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{H\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^{I+H}e^{-\mathbf{i}(q-t)I-\frac{\pi\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(H-2I-\frac{1}{2})^2}\right.\\
&\quad\left.\times\left\{\theta_\varepsilon(x-(-2\pi H+p-s))-\vartheta_\varepsilon(x-(-4\pi I+p-s-\pi))\right\}e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{8\pi\tau}(x+4\pi I-p+s+\pi)^2}e^{\mathbf{i}Iy}\right)\\
&\quad+\left(\frac{\bar{\tau}-\tau}{2\tau}\mathbf{i}e^{-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{4\pi}(q-t+\pi)x-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{8\pi\tau}(p-s+\pi)^2}\sum_{N\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{H\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^{N+H}e^{-\mathbf{i}(q-t)N-\frac{\pi\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(H-2N+\frac{1}{2})^2}\right.\\
&\quad\left.\times\left\{\theta_\varepsilon(x-(-2\pi H+p-s))-\vartheta_\varepsilon(x-(-4\pi N+p-s+\pi))\right\}e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{8\pi\tau}(x+4\pi N-p+s-\pi)^2}e^{\mathbf{i}Ny}\right)\\
&\quad\times\left(e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{4\pi}(q-t+\pi)x+\frac{\mathbf{i}}{8\pi\tau}(p-s+\pi)^2}\sum_{K\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^K e^{-\mathbf{i}(q-t)K-\frac{\mathbf{i}}{8\pi\tau}(x-4\pi K-p+s-\pi)^2}e^{\mathbf{i}Ky}\right)\\
&=-\frac{\bar{\tau}-\tau}{2\tau}\mathbf{i}\sum_{\mathbf{a}\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{I\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{H\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^{\mathbf{a}+H}e^{-\mathbf{i}(q-t)\mathbf{a}-\frac{\pi\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(H-2I-\frac{1}{2})^2}\\
&\quad\times\left\{\theta_\varepsilon(x-(-2\pi H+p-s))-\theta_\varepsilon(x-(-4\pi I+p-s-\pi))\right\}\\
&\quad\times e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(\mathbf{a}x-2\pi\mathbf{a}^2+4\pi I\mathbf{a}+(-p+s+\pi)\mathbf{a})}e^{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{a}y}\\
&\quad+\frac{\bar{\tau}-\tau}{2\tau}\mathbf{i}\sum_{\mathbf{a}\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{N\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{H\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^{\mathbf{a}+H}e^{-\mathbf{i}(q-t)\mathbf{a}-\frac{\pi\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(H-2N+\frac{1}{2})^2}\\
&\quad\times\left\{\theta_\varepsilon(x-(-2\pi H+p-s))-\theta_\varepsilon(x-(-4\pi N+p-s+\pi))\right\}\\
&\quad\times e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(\mathbf{a}x-2\pi\mathbf{a}^2+4\pi N\mathbf{a}+(-p+s-\pi)\mathbf{a})}e^{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{a}y}\\
&=\frac{\bar{\tau}-\tau}{2\tau}\mathbf{i}\sum_{\mathbf{a}\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^{\mathbf{a}+l}e^{-\mathbf{i}(q-t)\mathbf{a}-\frac{\pi\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(l+\frac{1}{2})^2}\\
&\quad\times\left\{\theta_\varepsilon(x-(-2\pi k-2\pi l+p-s))-\theta_\varepsilon(x-(-2\pi k+p-s+\pi))\right\}\\
&\quad\times e^{\frac{\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(\mathbf{a}x-2\pi\mathbf{a}^2+2\pi k\mathbf{a}+(-p+s-\pi)\mathbf{a})}e^{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{a}y}\\
&=\frac{\bar{\tau}-\tau}{2\tau}\mathbf{i}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^l e^{-\frac{\pi\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(l+\frac{1}{2})^2}\\
&\quad\times\left\{\theta_\varepsilon(x-(-2\pi k-2\pi l+p-s))-\theta_\varepsilon(x-(-2\pi k+p-s+\pi))\right\}\\
&=\frac{\bar{\tau}-\tau}{4\tau}\mathbf{i}\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^l(2l+1)e^{-\frac{\pi\mathbf{i}}{\tau}(l+\frac{1}{2})^2}.
\end{aligned}
$$

This value is not zero by Lemma 4.9. We denote this value by c_τ. Thus, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 4.10. *The mapping cone $C(\psi)$ is isomorphic to $E_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{\eta}{2})}$ with $\eta \equiv \mu+\nu+\pi+\pi\tau \pmod{2\pi(\mathbb{Z}\oplus\tau\mathbb{Z})}$, where $\frac{1}{\sqrt{c_\tau}}\phi$ and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{c_\tau}}\tilde{\phi}$ give the isomorphism.* □

5 Geometric interpretation

In this section, we discuss a geometric interpretation of the mapping cone $C(\psi)$ from the viewpoint of the corresponding symplectic geometry. First we recall the Fukaya category [3] Fuk(M) following [13].

Let $(M, \check{M})$ be a mirror pair. The objects of Fuk(M) are special Lagrangian submanifolds of M endowed with flat local systems. We denote by $\mathcal{U}_i = (\mathcal{L}_i, \mathcal{E}_i)$ an object of Fuk(M) where $\mathcal{L}_i$ denotes a special Lagrangian submanifold and $\mathcal{E}_i$ denotes a flat local system. The space of morphisms $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{U}_i,\mathcal{U}_j)$ are defined as

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{U}_i,\mathcal{U}_j) := \mathbb{C}^{\sharp\{\mathcal{L}_i\cap\mathcal{L}_j\}} \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{E}_j),$$

where the Hom represents homomorphisms of vector spaces at the points of intersection. There is a $\mathbb{Z}$-grading on the morphisms by considering the Maslov index at the points of intersection. The degree r part is denoted $\mathcal{C}^r(\mathcal{U}_i,\mathcal{U}_j)$. The A_∞ structure $\{m_k\}$ in Fuk(M) is given by summing over holomorphic maps from the disk D^2, which take the components of the boundary $S^1 = \partial D^2$ to the special Lagrangian objects. An element u_j of $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{U}_j,\mathcal{U}_{j+1})$ is represented by a pair $u_j = t_j \cdot a_j$, where $a_j \in \mathcal{L}_j \cap \mathcal{L}_{j+1}$, and t_j is a matrix in $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_j|_{a_j}, \mathcal{E}_{j+1}|_{a_j})$. The composition map $m_k : \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{U}_1,\mathcal{U}_2)\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{U}_k,\mathcal{U}_{k+1}) \to \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{U}_1,\mathcal{U}_{k+1})$ is defined by

$$m_k(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_k) := \sum_{a_{k+1}\in\mathcal{L}_1\cap\mathcal{L}_{k+1}} c(u_1, \cdots, u_k, a_{k+1}) \cdot a_{k+1},$$

where (notation explained below)

$$c(u_1, \cdots, u_k, a_{k+1}) = \sum_{\phi} \pm e^{2\pi\mathbf{i}\int \phi^*\omega} \cdot Pe^{\oint \phi^*\beta}$$

is a matrix in $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_1|_{a_{k+1}}, \mathcal{E}_{k+1}|_{a_{k+1}})$. Here we sum over (anti-) holomorphic maps $\phi : D^2 \to M$, up to equivalence, with the following conditions along the boundary : there are $k+1$ points $p_j = e^{2\pi\mathbf{i}a_j}$ such that $\phi(p_j) = a_j$ and $\phi(e^{2\pi\mathbf{i}\alpha}) \in \mathcal{L}_j$ for $\alpha \in (\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j)$. In the above, ω is the complexified Kähler form, and P represents a path-ordered integration, where β is the connection of the flat bundle along the local system on the boundary (we omit the expression of the sign). The path-ordered integral is defined by

$$Pe^{\oint \phi^*\beta} := e^{\int_{a_k}^{a_{k+1}} \phi^*\beta_{k+1}d\alpha} \cdot t_k \cdot e^{\int_{a_{k-1}}^{a_k} \phi^*\beta_k d\alpha} \cdot t_{k-1} \cdot \cdots\cdot t_1 \cdot e^{\int_{a_{k+1}}^{a_1} \phi^*\beta_1 d\alpha}.$$



Now we turn to the case $M = T^2$ and consider the Fukaya category $\mathrm{Fuk}(T^2)$. The Lagrangian submanifolds defined in section 2 are examples of the special Lagrangian submanifolds of T^2.

First, we consider the product $m_2 : \mathcal{C}^0(\mathcal{L}_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{u}{2})}, \mathcal{L}_{(1,s)}) \otimes \mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{L}_{(1,s)}, \mathcal{L}_{(0,p)}) \to \mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{L}_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{u}{2})}, \mathcal{L}_{(0,p)})$. Here, note that the elements of $\mathcal{L}_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{u}{2})} \cap \mathcal{L}_{(1,s)}$, $\mathcal{L}_{(1,s)} \cap \mathcal{L}_{(0,p)}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{u}{2})} \cap \mathcal{L}_{(0,p)}$ are only one point, respectively. We consider the following setting in the fundamental domain $[0,2\pi]\times[0,2\pi] \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ of T^2. Let e_1 be the intersection point of $\mathcal{L}_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{u}{2})}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{(1,s)}$. Similarly, let e_2, e_3 be the intersection points of $\mathcal{L}_{(1,s)}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{(0,p)}$, $\mathcal{L}_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{u}{2})}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{(0,p)}$, respectively. We regard e_1, e_2 and e_3 as elements of $\mathcal{C}^0(\mathcal{L}_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{u}{2})}, \mathcal{L}_{(1,s)})$, $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{L}_{(1,s)}, \mathcal{L}_{(0,p)})$ and $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{L}_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{u}{2})}, \mathcal{L}_{(0,p)})$, respectively. Then, the product $m_2 : \mathcal{C}^0(\mathcal{L}_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{u}{2})}, \mathcal{L}_{(1,s)}) \otimes \mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{L}_{(1,s)}, \mathcal{L}_{(0,p)}) \to \mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{L}_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{u}{2})}, \mathcal{L}_{(0,p)})$ turns out to be

$$\begin{aligned} m_2(e_1 \otimes e_2) &= c(e_1, e_2, e_3)e_3 \\ &= \pm\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{-\frac{\pi \mathbf{i}}{\tau}(n+\frac{1}{2})^2 + \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}(q+t+\pi)(2n+1) - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}t(2n+1) - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2}q(2n+1)} e_3 \\ &= \pm\mathbf{i}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n e^{-\frac{\pi \mathbf{i}}{\tau}(n+\frac{1}{2})^2} e_3 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

In section 4, we consider the case of $F(\psi) = 0$ (see Lemma 4.2) and $F(\psi)$ is the composition of the morphism $E_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{\eta}{2})} \to E_{(1,\nu)}$ and $\psi : E_{(1,\nu)} \to TE_{(0,\mu)}$. Thus, the result $m_2(e_1 \otimes e_2) = 0$ corresponds to the condition $F(\psi) = 0$.

Next, we consider an interpretation for the value c_τ. Recall that the holomorphic maps $\tilde{\phi} : E_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{\eta}{2})} \to C(\psi)$ and $\phi : C(\psi) \to E_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{\eta}{2})}$ satisfy the relation $\phi\tilde{\phi} = c_\tau I$, namely, $\frac{1}{\sqrt{c_\tau}}\phi\frac{1}{\sqrt{c_\tau}}\tilde{\phi} = I$. The value c_τ is expressed as follows,

$$c_\tau = \frac{\bar{\tau} - \tau}{2\tau}\mathbf{i}\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^l \left(l + \frac{1}{2}\right) e^{-\frac{2\pi \mathbf{i}}{\tau}\frac{1}{2}(l+\frac{1}{2})^2} = -\frac{\bar{\tau} - \tau}{4\pi\tau}\mathbf{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta}\vartheta_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}\left(\beta, -\frac{1}{\tau}\right)\Big|_{\beta=0},$$

where $\vartheta_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(z,\tau)$ is the theta function. The values $\frac{1}{\tau}\cdot\frac{1}{2}(l+\frac{1}{2})^2$ $(l\in\mathbb{Z})$ are the symplectic areas with the complexified symplectic form $\omega=\frac{1}{\tau}dx\wedge dy$ and the values $l+\frac{1}{2}$ $(l\in\mathbb{Z})$ correspond to the information about the length of edges of triangles which are surrounded by the Lagrangian submanifolds $\mathcal{L}_{(0,p)}$, $\mathcal{L}_{(1,s)}$, $\mathcal{L}_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{u}{2})}$ and their copies in the covering space $\mathbb{R}^2$ of T^2. This fact corresponds to the product m_3 of e_1, e_2, e_3 (cf. [5], eq(19) in the case of $n=2$ and $b=1$).



Finally, we discuss the $SL(2;\mathbb{Z})$ action on T^2. For an element

$$\begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} \end{pmatrix}\ (g_{11},g_{12},g_{21},g_{22}\in\mathbb{Z},\ g_{11}g_{22}-g_{12}g_{21}=1)$$

in $SL(2;\mathbb{Z})$, the $SL(2;\mathbb{Z})$ action on $\mathbb{R}^2$ is defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}\in\mathbb{R}^2\longmapsto\begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix} g_{11}x+g_{12}y \\ g_{21}x+g_{22}y \end{pmatrix}\in\mathbb{R}^2.$$

This action induces the $SL(2;\mathbb{Z})$ action on T^2, which transforms Lagrangian submanifolds to other ones in T^2. Furthermore, we need to consider not only the transformation of $\mathcal{L}_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{p}{n})}$, but also the transformation of the holonomy $q\tau$. In fact, the transformation of $s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$ is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ \frac{a}{n}x+\frac{\mu}{n} \end{pmatrix}\longmapsto\begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} x \\ \frac{a}{n}x+\frac{\mu}{n} \end{pmatrix},\quad\begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} \end{pmatrix}\in SL(2;\mathbb{Z}).$$

In particular, $E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$ is associated to $s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$, so when we consider the $SL(2;\mathbb{Z})$ action on T^2 and $s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$ is transformed by this $SL(2;\mathbb{Z})$ action, $E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$ is transformed on $\check{T}^2$. Now, for any two stable vector bundles $E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$ and $E_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})}$

corresponding to $s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$ and $s_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})}$, respectively, we assume that $\frac{a}{n} < \frac{b}{m}$, i.e., $bn - am > 0$ and $\mathrm{dim}\mathrm{Ext}^1(E_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})}, E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}) = 1$. Note

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{dim}\mathrm{Ext}^1(E_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})}, E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}) &= \mathrm{dim}H^1(E_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})}, E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}) \\ &= bn - am \\ &= 1.\end{aligned}$$

Here, we consider the mapping cone $C(\psi')$ of $\psi' \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(E_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})}, E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})})$ such that $\psi' \neq 0$. Then we expect that there exists an $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $C(\psi') \cong E_{(\frac{a+b}{m+n},\frac{\eta}{m+n})}$ by Theorem 4.1. Let us consider the following matrix

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} b-a & n-m \\ -a & n \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2;\mathbb{Z}).$$

By this matrix A, $s_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})}$ is mapped to $s_{(0,\mu)}$, $s_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})}$ is mapped to $s_{(1,\nu)}$, and $s_{(\frac{a+b}{m+n},\frac{\eta}{m+n})}$ is mapped to $s_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{\eta}{2})}$. Then, by Theorem 4.10, $C(\psi)$ is isomorphic to $E_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{\eta}{2})}$ with $\eta \equiv \mu+\nu+\pi+\pi\tau \pmod{2\pi(\mathbb{Z}\oplus\tau\mathbb{Z})}$. Hence, $C(\psi') \cong E_{(\frac{a+b}{m+n},\frac{\eta}{m+n})}$ holds if and only if $\eta \equiv \mu+\nu+\pi+\pi\tau \pmod{2\pi(\mathbb{Z}\oplus\tau\mathbb{Z})}$. Thus, wee see that there exists an exact triangle consisting of stable vector bundles

$$\cdots E_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})} \longrightarrow E_{(\frac{a+b}{m+n},\frac{\eta}{m+n})} \longrightarrow E_{(\frac{b}{m},\frac{\nu}{m})} \longrightarrow TE_{(\frac{a}{n},\frac{\mu}{n})} \cdots,$$

where $\eta \equiv \mu+\nu+\pi+\pi\tau \pmod{2\pi(\mathbb{Z}\oplus\tau\mathbb{Z})}$.

Acknowledgment

I would like to thank Hiroshige Kajiura for various advices in writing this paper.

References

[1] M. F. Atiyah, Vector bundles over an elliptic curve. Proc. London Math. Soc, 1957.

[2] A. Bondal and M. Kapranov, Enhanced triangulated categories. Math. USSR Sbornik 70:93-107, 1991.

[3] K. Fukaya, Morse homotopy, A^∞-category, and Floer homologies. In: Proceedings of GARC Workshop on Geometry and Topology '93 (Seoul, 1993). Lecture Notes in Series, vol. 18, pp. 1-102. Seoul Nat. Univ., Seoul (1993).

[4] K. Fukaya, Mirror symmetry of abelian varieties and multi theta functions. J. Algebr. Geom. 11, 393-512 (2002).

[5] H. Kajiura, Homological perturbation theory and homological mirror symmetry. In Higher Structures in Geometry and Physics, volume 287 of Progress in Math., pages 201-226, Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2011.

[6] H. Kajiura, On some deformation of fukaya categories. Symplectic, Poisson, and Noncommutative Geometry, 93-130, MSRI Publ. 62, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 2014.

[7] M. Kontsevich, Homological algebra of mirror symmetry. In Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Zürich, 1994), volume 184, pages 120-139. Birkhäuser, 1995. math.AG/9411018.

[8] M. Kontsevich, Y. Soibelman, Homological mirror symmetry and torus fibrations. In Symplectic geometry and mirror symmetry (Seoul, 2000), pages 203-263. World Sci.Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 2001. math.SG/0011041.

[9] N. C. Leung, S-T. Yau, E. Zaslow, From special Lagrangian to Hermitian-Yang-Mills via Fourier-Mukai transform. Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 4:13191341, 2000.

[10] D. Mumford, Tata Lectures on Theta, Progress in Mathmatics Vol 28, Birkhäuser BostonEBaselEStuttgart, 1983.

[11] A. Polishchuk, A_∞-structures on an elliptic curve. Comm. Math. Phys. 247, 527 (2004). math.AG/0001048.

[12] A. Polishchuk, Abelian Varieties, Theta Functions and the Fourier Transform, Cambridge University Press, 2003.

[13] A. Polishchuk, E. Zaslow, Categorical mirror symmetry : the elliptic curve. Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2, 443-470 (1998). math.AG/9801119.

[14] A.Strominger, S.-T.Yau, and E. Zaslow, Mirror Symmetry is T-Duality. Nucl. Phys. B, 479:243-259, 1996.